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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research on Climate Change Has Been a Two-Way Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Analysts have taken cues from policymakers about concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Policymakers have drawn on analysis in designing legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Information needs created/complicated by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Long-term and global nature of the policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Profound scientific and economic uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Equity considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Interaction between climate policies and other policies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Much Progress in a Short Time: A Few Examples

- Evolution in thinking about benefits
- Research on the potential consequences of free allocations have led to more interest in auctioning allowances

- Evolution in thinking about timing flexibility
  - Research on the efficiency advantages of a tax relative to a fixed cap prompted policymakers’ interest in flexible cap designs
  - Analysts have responded by considering alternative ways to build timing flexibility into cap-and-trade programs
More Progress Needed:
Some Information Needs in Key Areas
Benefits: Realistic Expectations About Potential Policy Outcomes

- Information on the relationship between U.S.—or developed countries as a whole—mitigation efforts and potential shifts in the distribution of climate outcomes
  - Implications for choice of stringency versus policy structure and creation of global incentives (e.g., contingent reductions, border adjustments, international offsets).

- Continued effort to integrate uncertainties and risks of catastrophic damages into benefit estimates
  - Implications for policy stringency and timing
  - Implications for policy design: mitigation and adaptation strategies
For Example: Effect of Uncertainty About Concentrations on Expected Temperature Has Implications for Policy Design

Expected Equilibrium Average Global Temperature (°C)
Aggregate Costs of U.S. Policy: Better Information on Some of the Key Drivers

- **Availability and cost of offsets**
  - Domestic: How reliable are existing estimates?
    - Accounted for 40% of reductions in initial 8 years of the policy in CBO analysis
    - Initial price estimate over 40% higher if no offsets
  - International
    - Best methods for achieving them? CDM, sector based approaches, technology standards in some countries?

- **Better treatment of the uncertainties associated with technology development and acceptance**
  - Nuclear
  - Carbon capture and storage
Distribution of Costs: Increased Focus on Costs Borne by Particular Groups

- **Burdens imposed on trade-exposed, energy-intensive industries**
  - Implications for production, employment, carbon leakage
  - Implications of policies designed to protect them: e.g., effects on leakage, implementation issues, spur comparable efforts, WTO?

- **Burdens imposed on low-income households**
  - Options for targeting compensation using existing mechanisms

- **“Fair” allocation to industries/firms**
  - Ability to identify winners and losers
Cost Containment Options: Increasingly Complex Options Have Been Considered

- Increased interest in providing flexibility in timing of reductions and decreasing price volatility
  - E.g., progression in Lieberman/McCain (S.280), Lieberman/Warner (S.2191), Manager’s amendment (S. 3036)

- Need to understand implications of different approaches—banking, borrowing (individual or aggregate), price floors and price ceilings for
  - Price volatility
  - Ability of firms to react to potential policy changes
  - Implementation
  - Certainty about long-term reductions
Conclusion:
No Analyst Left Behind!