

#### ULA Briefing to National Research Council

### In-Space Propulsion Roadmap

## March 22, 2011

**Bernard Kutter** 

Manager Advanced Programs



- 3 most critical transportation technologies enabling beyond LEO Exploration:
  - Integrated Cryogenic Propulsion Stage (CPS) design
  - Efficient cryogenic storage
  - -Cryogenic fluid transfer

Lack of these 3 technologies negatively impacted Constellation

- The time between Ares V and I launches was reduced from 3 months (ESAS) to 1 day
  - This short interval was the single largest risk to mission success
- Use of a half full EDS for the Earth departure burn increased the initial mass in LEO (IMLEO) by >30% compared to a full EDS
  - On-orbit fueling and efficient CPS IMLEO could be reduced by >35%.
- Orion's propulsion module was switched from LO2/LH2 to LO2/LCH4 and finally storable propulsion
  - The resulting increased lift requirement rippled through the entire launch system forcing significant redesign



### **CPS In-Space Applications**



**CPS Spans Entire Space Transportation Architecture** 

#### United Launch Alliance Integrated CPS Design is Critical Example 100 mT Propellant Load





### **HEFT Assumed CPS**

#### Cryo Propulsion Stage Characteristics: Long Duration

Missions



DIOCK 2	Design Characteristic	Value		
	Propellants	02/H2		
	Approximate Stage PMF	0.75-0.825		
	Approximate Stage Maximum Diameter	7.5 m		
	Approximate Stage Maximum Length	15.0 m		
	# of Engines	2		
	Engine Thrust (100%)	125-135 kN		
	Engine Isp (100%)	445-455 sec		
	Inert Mass (Including RCS and Cyro Boiloff)	14550 - 17625 kg		
	Total Stage Wet Mass	67500-75000 kg		
	Active Lifetime (Launch through Disposal)	>400 days		

In order to support long duration missions, a Cryogenic Propulsion Stage Block 2, maintains the responsibility for performing all orbital maneuvers, maintenance, and corrections for the integrated stack (including payload) after the initial orbital insertion by the SLS HLLV. Some combinations of CPS Block 2 characteristics and mission delta-V requirements will result in total integrated stack masses which exceed the HLLV liftcapa bility of 100 tonnes. However, the parameters in this data package represent sufficient information to conduct a feasibility assessment of design options and trade offs that result in mission closure.

#### Inadequate CFM Technology Adversely Drives CPS Design



# **CPS Design Differences**

CPS design has huge impact on performance
 Both stage mass and cryogenic storage



Centaur	Delta	
46 klb	46 klb	LO2 & LH2
<u>5 klb</u>	7 klb	Stage Dry Mass
2 klb	4 klb	Structure
90%	87%	Mass Fraction

Centaur 4m Delta IV

Integrated CPS Design Improves System Capability



#### **Integrated CPS Design** Advanced Common Evolved Stage (ACES)

- Mass Fraction > 0.90
- Long duration
- Mission Flexibility

Monocoque CRES Tank with advanced common bulkhead 5 m diameter MLI enshrouded



Integration of Individual Technologies into Effective System is Technical Challenge



# **Integrated Vehicle Fluids (IVF)**

- Utilize Hydrogen and Oxygen to replace:
  - -Hydrazine for attitude control
  - Helium for pressurization
  - Large Vehicle Batteries Power
- Provides mission flexibility
  - Unlimited Tank Pressurization Cycles
  - Numerous Main Engine Burns
  - Reaction control for attitude and translation
  - -Long mission durations
  - Eases stage refueling and reuse





H2/02

Thruster

File no. | 7





# **Mission Architectures**

- □ All exploration missions require multi-launch aggregation
  - -2 or more launches
  - -Transfer/Assembly of: Payloads, CPS, and/or propellant
  - Multi months loiter





Dual Launch L1 Gateway Mission

Courtesy NASA

Multi-Launch Requires Long Duration Cryo Storage and Significantly Benefits from Cryogenic Propellant Transfer



# **Long Duration CPS**

- **•** Earth Departure Stage
  - -Mars or NEO return stage
  - Lunar lander
  - Propellant depot
- Return stage mission duration
  - -Multi year mission with very low boil-off
    - 1 year: 0.027%/day
    - 2 year: 0.014%/day
    - 3 year: 0.009%/day
    - 4 year: 0.007%/day
- On orbit fueling allows:
  - Structure/insulation to not be driven by launch environment
  - -Reduced structural heat leak paths
  - -Very high mass fraction (>0.90)





# **Cryo Storage Experience**

Cryo Dewar Experience: small scale, heavy, very efficient



Epitzer

Spitzer 0.05%/day boil-off Solid He Courtesy NASA/JPL-Caltech



Hydrogen Thermal Test Article 0.022%/day boil-off LH2 Courtesy NASA

COBE 0.07%/day boil-off SfHe Courtesy NASA GSFC Centaur Experience: large scale, light weight, modest efficiency

	тс	-15	TC-11		
	(LO2)	(LH2)	(LO2)	(LH2)	
Tank Heating (Btu/hr)	2100	2500	1300	3100	
Boil-Off (%/day)	1.5	4.1	1.0	5.1	
System B-O (%/day)	2	.0	1.6		



Need to Combine Dewar and CPS Technology to Enable Efficient, Light Weight Cryo Storage



### **Integrated Cryo Test**

#### Integrated ground cryo test

- Demonstrate large scale, flight like systems
- Use actual Centaur flight tank

#### Demonstrate low boil-off storage

- ~2%/day current flight demonstrated
- -~0.25%/day with existing Centaur
- Guide future vehicle design to support <0.1%/day boil-off</li>



Technology Advancement Through Large Scale Ground Demonstration

# **CRYogenic Orbital TEstbed**





Courtesy NASA

Leading to Large Scale Cryo-Sat Flagship Technology **Demonstrations** 2015

**Repeated Flight Opportunities** Enabling Technology Advancement

Small Scale

Demonstrations

2013-2014



# **CFM Technologies TRL**

Cryo Fluid Management Technology	Current TRL		TRL Post-CRYOTE Lite		TRL Post-CRYOTE Pup, Free Flier	
	0-g	Stld	0-g	Stld	0-g	10 <sup>-4</sup> g
Transfer System Operation	4	5	4	9	9	9
Pressure Control	4	9	6	9	9	9
Low Acceleration Settling	N/A	9	N/A	9	N/A	9
Tank fill operation	4	5	4	9	9	9
Thermodynamic Vent System	5	5	7	7	9	9
Multi-layer insulation (MLI)	9	9	9	9	9	9
Integrated MLI (MMOD)	6(2)	6(2)	9(7)	9(7)	9	9
Vapor Cooling (H <sub>2</sub> para-ortho)	9(4)	9(4)	9	9	9	9
Passive Broad Area Cooling (active)	9(4)	9(4)	9(4)	9(4)	9	9
Active cooling (20k)	4	4	4	4	9	9
Ullage and Liquid Stratification	3	9	9	9	9	9
Propellant acquisition	2	9	9	9	9	9
Mass Gauging	3	9	9	9	9	9
Propellant Expulsion Efficiency	3	9	9	9	9	9
System Chilldown	4	5	4	9	9	9
Subcooling P>1atm (P<1atm)	9(5)	9(5)	9(5)	9(5)	9(5)	9(5)
Fluid Coupling	3	3	3	3	9	9



# **In-Space Engine Development**

#### In-Space propulsion requirements

- -Reliable
- -Producible
- -Affordable
- -High ISP (>460 sec)
- -Light weight (~500 lb)
- -~25 klb thrust
- -Low net positive suction pressure
- -Engine out



#### Courtesy PWR



Courtesy Xcor

**Continuous US Propulsion Investment** 



# **Solar Electric Propulsion**

- Solar electric propulsion has potential to significantly reduce required launch mass
  - Typically large exploration class missions assume high power SEP
    - 50kW class vehicles such as FTD1
    - Ultimately 200 kW to multi MW class
  - At low mission tempo SEP cost may not be worth reduced launch mass
- Smaller SEP systems have broad application
  - -xClass robotic exploration
  - -Rideshare orbit delivery
  - Propellant scavenging and delivery to HEO
  - 5kW class vehicles such as ESPA OMS





Small SEP provides valuable experience



#### Summary

- Enhanced technologies supporting CPS design critical for Exploration
  - -Integrated CPS design
  - -Efficient cryogenic storage
  - -Cryogenic fluid transfer
- Integrated Vehicle Fluids
  Mission capability, reliability
- Integrated testing
  - -Ground testing
  - -Affordable in-space testing (CRYOTE)
- Continuous engine investment
- Affordable solar electric propulsion



![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)