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The Future State

- The vision of commercial human spaceflight to Low Earth Orbit (LEO) is a robust, vibrant, profit-making commercial enterprise with many providers and a wide range of private and public users.

- NASA’s commercial spaceflight initiatives are helping to make this vision a reality.
Commercial Cargo Status

• SpaceX
  – After 72 months, 40 milestones, and a $396M investment from NASA, SpaceX developed and brought into operations:
    • A new U.S. intermediate class commercial launch vehicle (Falcon 9),
    • A spacecraft (Dragon), and
    • A launch pad (LC-40)
  capable of safely transporting cargo to the ISS and returning cargo to the Earth.

• Orbital Sciences Corporation
  – Orbital Sciences has completed 25 of 29 milestones and received $276M out of $288.
  – The maiden test flight of the Antares is planned to occur within the next couple months. The Demonstration Mission to the ISS is planned to occur in the Spring/Summer.
### “Commercial” at NASA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Characteristic</th>
<th>Early Space Age Approach</th>
<th>Commercial-Oriented Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Fee-Type</td>
<td>Cost Plus</td>
<td>Fixed Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Management</td>
<td>Prime Contractor</td>
<td>Public-Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer(s)</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>Government and Non-Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for Capability Demonstration</td>
<td>NASA procures capability</td>
<td>NASA provides investment via milestone payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA’s Role in Capability Development</td>
<td>NASA defines “what” and “how”</td>
<td>NASA only defines “what” (Industry defines “how”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements Definition</td>
<td>NASA defines detailed requirements</td>
<td>NASA defines top-level capabilities needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Structure</td>
<td>NASA incurs total cost</td>
<td>NASA and Industry cost share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commercial Crew Approach

**Traditional NASA Development**
Goal: ISS Crew Mission
Extensive Government Involvement
No Cost Sharing
Government Owns IP
Detailed Design Requirements
Unlimited Data and Lots of Deliverables
Higher Costs

**Non-Traditional Development**
Goal: Commercial Human Transport
Limited Government Involvement
Cost Sharing
Commercial Partner Owns IP
Tailored Human-Rating Requirements
Pay-for-Performance Milestones
Lower Costs

NASA had clearly chosen a non-traditional development approach for the Commercial Crew Program.
Requirements

• By NASA controlling fewer, higher level requirements, the companies can determine how best to meet NASA’s requirements.

• It also forces NASA to determine what we need, as opposed to what we want.

• In addition, it allows NASA to use fixed-price contracts, as opposed to traditional cost-plus contracts.
Unique Approach to a Unique Situation

• Over the years, NASA has used a variety of different approaches to overseeing and understanding the development of spacecraft. Each approach was tailored to meet the specific needs of the program.

• Within the context of the Commercial Crew Program, the following key ingredients are present which enable the adoption of these key paradigm changes:
  – No technology breakthroughs were required – we are not pushing the technological state of the art by flying people to and from low Earth orbit
  – Very real prospect of other customers beyond NASA – spaceflight participants and sovereign clients are existing markets with substantial growth potential
  – Government foundational customer base – the International Space Station represents a long term, repeatable market
  – Strong industrial base – many U.S. companies have the capability to develop safe and reliable crew transportation systems.
Approach Summary

• The purpose of contrasting NASA’s traditional and non-traditional approaches does not mean one is better than the other.

• Each approach is appropriate for the type of program required.
  – For technically-ambitious, one-of-a-kind programs where NASA is the only customer and production is limited to only one (or a few) of the systems, then a traditional approach is more appropriate.
  – For more commercial-like programs that feature the key ingredients mentioned previously, the approach being followed by the CCP is more appropriate.

• The combination of a unique contracting mechanism and an innovative technical approach should enable the development of a safe, reliable, and cost effective crew transportation system for use by a wide range of public and private users.
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Current Commercial Crew Partners

- Boeing
- Sierra Nevada Corporation
- SpaceX
NASA’s Complimentary Strategy