

eRA Committee Grants.gov Forms Key Personnel/Person Data (From Mark Sweet)

Lori Ann Schutlz will email a matrix they have looked at for form fields—this has been distributed to the era-committee

General Comments

1. Full XML schema must be developed and not tie to the “forms”: S2S an XML schema is more important than matching to “forms” where the data is parsed
2. Frequency of data elements across forms
3. Data limits on form fields
4. Validation rules (e.g., NIH credential) specific for agency not included in PureEdge/Grants.gov validation rules.
5. Agency instructions differ from the Grants.gov instructions. Model: agency application instructions should be specific for the whole applications including Grants.gov instructions.
6. R&R Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) – used for apps where there are more than 8 people
7. Grants.gov cannot guarantee the order of the expanded attachments of additional personnel
8. NIH should eliminate the requirement for additional people to be alphabetized
9. PureEdge/Grants.gov should eliminate the requirement to complete ALL of the PI info before adding additional info about other personnel.
10. Some concern about the R&R Person Expanded and the “requirement” of using PureEdge to attach additional forms for more than 8 key people and how this would work for S2S (the form within a form issue—similar to the subaward issue). Suggestion to make the normal key person form required and add the Expanded form in the optional section.
11. It would be valuable to have more data re-populated into the other sections of the forms. For example, enter the co-pi in personnel page but also include the info on the budget. Also, removing data should be recursive.
12. More strict OMB review for new agency specific forms should be required.
13. A way to keep up to date to changes to g.g forms would be useful.

Specific Forms

1. NASA Senior/Key Person Supp Data Sheet looks duplicative. This data is in the SF424R&R. Unique fields: statement of commitment, budget detail
2. NASA PI Auth. Rep Suppl Data Sheet: much of the data is on the #1 Unique fields: US Gov’t employee, \$ amount, foreign org
3. NSF FastLane Reg form (optional): (per Kim Deutsch nobody has ever used it)—this form is needed because additional FastLane processing is done after the application is rec’d. U Nebraska pointed out that this form would be useful to help get collaborative applicants who are not already registered in FL set up.
4. CSREES Supplemental Info form: The program code number is the most important data element to help route to the appropriate group internally: this

should probably be included in the 424R&R (needed by NSF, USDA, possibly DOE).

- a. Another purpose of this form is capture applicant types. Some of these will be included in schema 2. Suggested that perhaps a better place to put this info in the applicant profile in CCR.
 - b. Conflict of interest list (the is an updated form) is used like the NSF “reviews to exclude” – possible other agencies may have something similar (CSREES has discussed that perhaps this could be associated with a profile; issue: is the info updated and current when the app is submitted)
5. PHS Checklist (non-NIH): what is the purpose of this form? Is this just to help the applicant? The certification dates are entered as are the contact information. Can this be eliminated or made optional? Assurance dates can they be associated with the institutional profiles? NIH does not require the dates why do other DHHS agencies need the dates?
6. NSF Checklist: this may be similar to the checklist above
7. R&R Personal Data form: this is a standard form that is used in some applications. Use by some agencies to report to OMB/Congress about how well the agencies are serving to under-represented groups. (NSF and USDA are the only ones that use it)
- 8.