

40 Years Experience of Technology Licensing

Katharine Ku

Stanford University

National Academies Symposium

January 13, 2011



Philosophy

- **Do what's “best for the technology”**
- **Foster good industrial relations**
- **Be reasonable**
- **Be flexible**
- **Be action/results oriented**

History

- **Started in 1970**
- **Approx. 8,300 cumulative disclosures**
- **Approx. 3,000 active cases**
- **Executed over 3500 licenses**
- **Approx. 1200 active licenses**

Notable Stanford Inventions

1970 – OTL Established

1971- FM Sound Synthesis (\$22.9M)

1974 – Recombinant DNA (\$255M)

1981 – Phycobiliproteins (\$46.4M), Fiber Optic Amplifier (\$48M), MI NOS (\$3.9M)

1982 – Amplification of Genes (\$30M)

1984 – Functional Antibodies (\$279M)

1986 – CHEF Electrophoresis (\$2.38M)

1990-1992 – DSL (\$29.4M)

1996 – Improved Hypertext Searching (Google™) (\$337M)

2011 – the next big thing ???

The upside...

- **OTL has generated ~\$1.3B in cumulative gross royalties**
- **\$870M were three big inventions**
- **Over \$1.2 billion stayed at Stanford/inventors**
- **OTL has given \$45.2M to the Research Incentive Fund**

Sobering Statistics

- **3/8300 is a BIG WINNER** (these three inventions generated 67% of the cumulative income)
- **20 cases generated \$5M or more**
- **68 cases generated \$1M or more in cumulative royalties**
- **\$17.6M in unlicensed inventory**
- **The University cannot count on royalties for university operating expenses**

FY2010

- **\$65.5M Royalty Revenue**
 - **\$17.8M to Schools**
 - **\$16.3M to Departments**
 - **\$17.7M to Inventors**
- **553 inventions generated income**
 - **Only 32 brought in royalties of \$100,000 or more**
 - **2 cases brought in \$1M or more**
- **\$7.1M in Legal Expenses**

General Stats

- We do about 80-120 new licenses a year
- $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{2}{3}$ are non-exclusive license
- About 10% of agreements are with start-ups
- 10-20% of licenses are “biological material” agreements
- Equity is not a big factor in revenue

It costs a lot of money...

- **Budget \$5M**
- **Spend about \$7-9M+ a year on patents**
- **\$17.6M in unlicensed inventory**
- **34 people**
 - **Industrial contracts (5)**
- **It took us 15 years to break even**

OTL's Success is a numbers game

- **Early stage inventions**
- **Royalties reflect early stage**
- **We are looking for broad patents**
 - **revolutionary v. evolutionary products**
- **5-10 years patience**

Technology Transfer is Complex

- **“Technology Champion” is the most important factor**
- **Patents are only a small part of the picture**
- **Commercialization of university research is high risk and success depends on receptiveness of industry/entrepreneurs**

Factors that affect Licensing

- **Environment**
- **Critical mass of inventions**
- **“planting seeds” vs. nurturing seedlings**
- **University Culture**
 - **Administration**
 - **Inventors**

Challenge #1

- **Managing Expectations**
 - **University Culture**
 - **Inventors**
 - **Companies**
 - **The invention**
 - **The Patent Office**

Challenge #2

- **Balancing interests**
 - **Faculty**
 - **Students**
 - **Administration**
 - **Companies**
 - **Physical**
 - **Life Sciences**
 - **Start-ups**
 - **The Community**

Observations

- **Age of the office is important**
 - 20-25 year proposition
- **Metrics are not (always) meaningful!**
- **Each deal is different**
 - Flexible
 - Reasonable
 - Precedence

National Academy of Sciences

- **Technology transfer within the mission of a university**
 - Leadership must be clear
- **It's not about the money**
 - maximize technology transfer
- **Measure and Evaluate**
 - Everyone should try to improve

Stanford “Best Practices”

- **Stay centered**
 - Education and research come first
- **Do what’s best for the technology**
 - Don’t chase the \$\$\$
 - The dollars will come if you do a good job
- **Plant as many seeds as possible**
 - Some will bear fruit