





GOVERNMENT-UNIVERSITY-INDUSTRY RESEARCH ROUNDTABLE Policy and Global Affairs

Mike Kerlin McKinsey Global Institute McKinsey Infrastructure Practice

June 24, 2013

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY Any use of this material without specific permission of McKinsey & Company is strictly prohibited



Summary

- Just keeping pace with projected global GDP growth will require an estimated
 \$57 trillion in infrastructure investment between now and 2030
 - More than the estimated value of today's infrastructure
 - Against a headwind of fiscal constraints and tight lending capacity
- Practical steps can reduce infrastructure spending by 40 percent—an annual saving of \$1 trillion—by boosting productivity
 - Improving infrastructure portfolio planning and project selection
 - Streamlining delivery
 - Making the most out of exsisting infrastructure
- This requires an overhaul of infrastructure systems with respect to publicprivate sector orchestration, data transparency, upgraded capabilities, coordination across institutions and regions, stakeholder engagement, and clear separation of political and technical responsibilities
- The private sector can drive productivity within company operations, engage in a productive dialogue at industry level with public sector stakeholders, or develop new business and contracting models

Sizing the world's infrastructure investment challenge

- How to boost infrastructure productivity by 60 percent
- Overhauling the infrastructure system
- What it means for you

China has overtaken the United States and the European Union to become the world's largest investor in infrastructure



1 Percentage of 2010 world GDP generated by the 86 countries in our analysis.

2 Australia, Canada, Croatia, Iceland, Lichtenstein, New Zealand, Norway, Singapore, South Korea, Switzerland, Taiwan (Chinese Taipei), and the United Arab Emirates.

3 Excludes unusually high port and rail data for Nigeria; including these data brings the total weighted average to 5.7 percent.

It will be a challenge to just sustain current levels of infrastructure investment

Fiscal pressure

10% Average reduction

Average reduction, as a proportion of GDP, of G20 government spending to achieve debt targets

Constrained debt

60:40

Debt-to-equity ratio of the Pennsylvania Turnpike in 2008, versus 85:15 for the Indiana Toll Road just two years earlier

Shift to emerging markets

70%

Share of current investment pipeline comprising riskier green-field projects

Resource constraints

30-80%

Increase in demand for commodities over the next 20 years

As pension, insurance, and sovereign wealth funds grow, their investments in infrastructure should grow, too



SOURCE: Russell investments 2012 global survey on alternative investing; OECD; McKinsey Global Banking Pools; McKinsey Global Insurance Pools; SWF Institute; TheCity UK; Preqin; McKinsey Global Institute analysis

- Sizing the world's infrastructure investment challenge
- How to boost infrastructure productivity by 60 percent
- Overhauling the infrastructure system
- What it means for you

Construction productivity has been flat or falling in many advanced economies

Rest of economyConstruction

Labor productivity Index: 100 = 1989 for the United States, 1991 for Germany



The \$1 trillion-a-year infrastructure productivity opportunity

Global infrastructure investment need and how it could be reduced Yearly average, 2013–30 \$ trillion



1 Telecom investment need beyond the scope of this paper.

Productivity gains will come from three main sources

Making better decisions about project selection

- Clear socio-economic objectives
- Standard cost-benefit analyses
- System-wide decision-making



*

Chile's quantitative, transparent project evaluation system screens out 25–35% of proposals

Streamlining delivery

- Streamlining approvals & land acqu.
- Better tendering & procurement
- Design optimization & planning
- Lean construction
- Advanced contractor management
- Construction sector development

Making the most of existing assets

- Increased asset utilization
- Preventive maintenance
- Transmission and distribution
- Demand management





New South Wales sped up permitting processes by 11% while increasing their effectiveness





Phnom Penh reduced non-revenue water consumption from 72% to 6%

Clear socio-economic objectives Singapore's LTA identifies and evaluates projects based on master plans that cascade from economic, labor, and housings plans

Land Transport Authority We Keep Your World Moving	National vision & policies	Economic & land- use plans	Transportation strategic planning	Project scoping & identification	Feasibility analysis & Master planning
Decision maker	 National cabinet 	 National cabinet Urban Re- development Authority (URA) 	 Ministry of Transport Land Transport Authority 	 Land Transport Authority Ministry of Transport 	 Development Planning Committee (DPC) Land Transport Authority
Delineation of respon- sibilities	 Establishes overall vision for growth and national priorities 	 Relevant minis- tries forecast labor need over 50 years URA translates into a spatial plan (housing and employment) 	 MoT sets key transportation goals in consultation with LTA (the subsidiary working agency) 	 LTA identifies potential projects using mix of intuitive hypotheses and analyses (with MoT input) 	 LTA prepares paper for DPC DPC (composed of Ministers of Transport, Finance, and National Defense) approves all projects in master plan by consensus
Analyses and evaluations	• Emphasis on "Livability" as defined by current urban planning academia, and preference for public transport	 Concept Plan indicates zoning of every parcel of land & density Master Plan is "legally gazetted" doc 1 with design parameters and guidelines 	 Targeted mode- share of 70% public transport in morning peak time commute Limited car ownership increase to 1.0% by controlling allotment of certificates 2 	 Travel Demand Model (TDM) takes URA's master plan and models future traffic demands against existing supply, highlighting expected bottle- necks 	 DPC paper includes strategic rationale, project schedules, and project BCR: Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) weighs costs against value of reduced commute time3, insurance cost avoided, other benefits

1 Legally gazetted documents are published government documents and thus have legal standing

2 Fixed supply of certificates of entitlements required for car ownership

3 Value of time calculated from survey on willingness to pay

Design optimization and planning Sufficient investment in up-front planning and engineering is key to controlling construction cost and schedule

Relationship between spend incurred on Detailed Project Report (DPR) & cost overruns – roads sector



Illustrations of impact of bad DPRs in India

"In a roads project, a temple was adjacent to the site of a proposed flyover. This aspect was overlooked in the DPR phase. The flyover got built, and subsequently erased due to local pressure, wasting INR 21 crores in the process"

"In a thermal power project, the L-1 consultant quoted an abnormally low price, then did not deploy enough resources to prepare the DPR. The resulting design & cost estimate was heavily bloated, and the nodal agency saved 10-20% on it by doing the DPR again"

1 Purely cost-based bidder evaluation

2 Quality-cum-cost based approval: bidder evaluation based on cost committed by and technical qualification of the bidder

SOURCE: Build India report, based on interviews and McKinsey analysis

Design optimization and planning Value engineering yields significant savings – example road surface

Impact – reduced amount of hot mix base by differentiating between light and heavy traffic lanes



Incentives introduced to apply active design (profit sharing)

Source of waste

Same thickness of hot mix base in all lanes independently from traffic expected per lane

Construction sector development Countries can foster greater competition in order increase construction sector productivity



Danish construction sector showing significant productivity and cost improvement potential ...

- Construction costs in Denmark ~20% higher than the average of its Western European peers
- International companies more productive than domestic ones, with average cost difference of more than 20%, but underrepresented

Market share of foreign companies among top 10 firms

Percent, 2009



... suggesting several levers for increasing productivity through competition

- Removing technical barriers to competition, incl. zoning regulations, which prevent construction companies from achieving economies of scale from large building programs, as well as the lack of harmonization of standards with other markets (e.g., in building materials)
- Attracting best-practice foreign companies, e.g., through
 - Intensified marketing to international business by ensuring awareness of tenders abroad / publicizing in languages other than Danish
 - Expanding the scope of "Invest in Denmark", which is part of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, to service sectors
- Building critical "enablers", e.g.,
 - Training programs that provide a broader scope of training and education to facilitate coordination across trades
 - Changes to the institutional powers of the Danish Competition and Consumer Authority, including providing the authority with direct powers to prosecute cases

SOURCE: Intelligent transportation systems, Capitol Research, Council of State Governments, April 2010; Transport for London, 2007; Intelligent transportation systems benefits, costs, deployment, and lessons learned desk reference: 2011 update, US Department of Transportation, McKinsey & Company 14 September 2011; Urban mobility plan, Seattle Department of Transportation, January 2008; McKinsey Global Institute analysis

Increased asset utilization Many types of intelligent traffic systems offer a superior benefit-to-cost ratio than the physical expansion of roads

Comparison of returns for different road investments Average benefit-to-cost ratios

"Traditional" road capacity Electronic freight management system Dynamic curve warning Commercial vehicle information systems and networks Maintenance decision support system Intelligent traffic management National real-time traffic information system Road weather management technologies Service patrols (traffic incident management) Integrated corridor management Optimized traffic signals



Demand management Congestion pricing in cities has proved both its effectiveness as a demand management measure, as well as its financial viability

Impact	Financial success
 London's congestion has decreased by ~ 30% Revenue fund improved public transport; bus reliability has increased by over 25%; peak time bus speeds up 20% 	 NPV positive in year 2 18%p.a. revenue growth over 7 years
 Stockholm's traffic passing in and out of the cordon reduced by between 20 and 25% during the period of the trial Air quality improved 96% complied with paying the tax on time, which raised 399 m SEK during the period 	 NPV positive in first 3 years
 Oslo's 3-5% reduction in traffic created new space for efficient public transport, walking, cycling 6-9% growth in public transport 	 NPV positive in first 2 years
 Singapore's Congestion Zone has seen a 13% reduction of traffic during charging period; 22% rise of traffic speeds Achieving free-flow road speed targets of 45-65 kph on expressways, and 20-30 kph on arterials 	 NPV positive in first 3 years 56% increase in revenues from 2006 to 2008
 Seoul's bus ridership went up 5% 25% decline in bus accidents Passenger satisfaction went up 30% Ticket prices went down 5% Average bus speed went up by 100% 	 Increase in revenues allowed for more affordable pricing of public transportation

SOURCE: Land Transport Authority of Singapore; Institute for Transportation & Development Policy; Gorpe (2000, 2001); May (1992); www.lta.gov.sg; IDTP; Norwegian Public Roads Administration; www.visitoslo.com; http://www.fjellinjen.no/en; University of Minnesota; Press; Transport for London; Victoria Transport Policy Institute; "Intelligent transportation systems", Stephen Ezell, January 2010, The Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF)

- Sizing the world's infrastructure investment challenge
- How to boost infrastructure productivity by 60 percent
- Overhauling the infrastructure system
- What it means for you

Good chance of following infrastructure best practices only if the right system, governance, and enablers are in place

Data and accounting



Infrastructure balance sheets to overcome issues of scattered, incomplete, and inconsistent data

Technocrats vs. politicians



 While politicians need to set the objectives, technocrats need a level of independence for planning and evaluation

Capacity and capability



Leadership and vision at the top and a sufficient number of highquality project managers and planners below

Private sector orchestration



- Opportunities to create more competitive markets
- Private sector can help originate and improve planning proposals

Stakeholder engagement



 Establish transparency and trust early on to avoid bad decisions and delays

Coordination between institutions



 Orchestration of decision makers across regions and types of infrastructure

- Sizing the world's infrastructure investment challenge
- How to boost infrastructure productivity by 60 percent
- Overhauling the infrastructure system

What it means for you

There are ample opportunities for the private sector



Drive productivity within company operations

- Tendering & procurement
- Value engineering, design innovation, modularization
- Lean construction
- Operational improvements



Engage in a productive dialogue at industry level with public sector stakeholders

- Highlighting international best practice
- Co-investing in education, R&D, demonstration projects
- Highlighting barriers to construction sector development



Develop new business and contracting models

- Proposing new investments
- Offer capabilities that fill gaps in capabilities among owners (e.g., engineering, contract & project management, etc.)
- Developing infrastructure balance sheets
- Contract structures that allow operators to benefit from demand management

Our scorecard allows a convenient overview of current performance in the public sector

