# INVESTOR VISAS IN OECD COUNTRIES

### **Conference on Global High-Skilled Immigration Policy** The national Academies – Board on science, technology and economic policy Washington, September 22 – 23, 2014

Jean-Christophe Dumont Head of International Migration Division Directorate for Employment Labour and Social Affairs OECD



# Entrepreneurs and investors programmes in the OECD ....

Many existing programmes for entrepreneurs / self-employed

Most <u>new</u> programmes focus on investors and set capital / job creation thresholds

- New Zealand : "investor" and "investor +" since 1999 (rev 2009)
- **Spain :** since September **2013**
- United States : "immigrant entrepreneur" visa EB5 since 1990 and "E2 treaty investor visa" since 1952
- Australia : "investor" and "significant investor" (subclass 188) since 2012
- Netherlands : since 2013
- Greece : "investor", "strategic investor" & "real estate owners" since 2014
- Korea : F5, F2 and G1 visas since 2010
- United Kingdom : "investor subcategory" since 2009
- **Portugal :** since **2007**
- Ireland : since 2012
- **France :** "exceptional economic contribution" since **2009**
- **Canada :** ended its lump-sum system in **2010**

#### New entrepreneurs programmes have focused on start-ups

- **Chile :** Start-Up Chile (contest for entrepreneurs) since **2010** providing incubator and small capital
- **Canada :** Start-Up Visa programme (since **2014**) for entrepreneurs with local supporters



# Taxonomy of investors programmes





- Early adopters, constant refining
  - Multiple criteria, different grounds (U.K, AUS, CAN, NZ)
  - Larger the investment, fewer the requirements (e.g. < age, < language, < stay and residency duration)</li>
  - Points Based System (e.g. standardised criteria and sorting) and numerical controls
  - Simplifying investment instruments (e.g. improve incentive and takeup)

# Strong focus of recent programmes on the housing market

– Ireland, Greece, Spain, Portugal

## Few systematic evaluations

- Focus on process and service (e.g. take-up, connection to local groups)
- Examining key outcomes (e.g. earnings/taxes, expanded output or improved jobs headcount)

# Comparing parameters cross countries

### Financial capital

- Investment thresholds and type (active/passive) \*
- Human capital
  - Age, education, language, business experience
- Settlement factors
  - Self-sufficiency, non-entitlement to public services
- First renewal
  - Success criteria (lock-in investment type/period)
  - Access to permanent residency and nationality \*



#### **Minimum investment (EUR)**





#### **Conditional residence**



# What do people with capital elsewhere want?

- **Immigration and investment** are "separate issues each with its own logic"
- Migrant motives investment returns, really?
  - Safe destination/insurance policy
  - Tertiary education systems
  - lifestyle
  - Access to visa-free travel privilege
- Rational choice: taking the path of least resistance
  - how do investor and entrepreneur visas compare and overlap?



• **Public perception** (is the programme bringing value, or giving away/selling or "discounting" residency/citizenship)

### • Selection and monitoring

- Are immigration officers qualified to assess plans and monitor their successful implementation?
- Identifying the type of investment projects representing a potential net gain for the country
- Setting criteria to select start-up projects
- Front and rear end policy controls
  - due diligence of investment source (resource intensive, capability)
  - Integrity (e.g. preventing use for money laundering)
- Cost-benefit analysis
  - Reputational risks (e.g. money laundering)
  - Resource intensity (e.g. dedicated, trained staff, marketing "favoured destination")
  - Distributional impacts (e.g. housing)
  - Externalities (e.g. impact on neighbours as in free mobility areas)
  - Uptake of visas compared with other streams (e.g. entrepreneurs, direct hires)



### For further information:

### <u>www.oecd.org/migration</u> jean-christophe.dumont@oecd.org

