

Pakistan – United States Science and Technology Cooperation Program

Review Rating Instructions and Form

A summary of your comments will be released to the applicants upon request, but your identity and affiliation will remain confidential

Proposal Id #: _____ **Date:** _____

Reviewer's Name: _____ **Affiliation:** _____

Phone Number: _____ **E-mail:** _____

U.S. PI:	Pakistan PI:
_____	Do you know any of the individuals involved in this project? (Yes/No) (i.e., former student, adviser, research collaborator, colleague, etc.) This information will not be released to the applicants.
_____	Based on your stated relationship, would you like to excuse yourself from reviewing this proposal? (Yes/No).

Proposal Title: _____

Score	Max
_____	25 A. Relevance to Program Objectives
_____	25 B. Scientific and Technical Merit
_____	20 C. Capabilities of Partner Institutions and Individuals
_____	20 D. Nature of Collaboration
_____	10 E. Cost Effectiveness of Project
Sub-Total	
_____	10 <i>Commercialization, Technology Transfer, and Industry Linkages (bonus points)</i>
Total	

If we are unable to fund the proposal during this cycle, what would your recommendation to the PIs be?

Proposal should be revised and resubmitted to a future round.

Proposal is weak or inappropriate; do not recommend grant award or resubmission.

A. RELEVANCE TO PROGRAM OBJECTIVES (25 points max): Please rate the extent to which the proposed project furthers one or more of the following objectives: (1) Improving the quality, relevance, or capacity of education and research at Pakistani institutions of higher education in science and technical fields; (2) increasing the capacity of science and technology to improve the well-being of the Pakistani people, including (but not limited to) such topics as basic education, health, nutrition, water/sanitation, environment, and economic development;

3) improving the capacity of Pakistani institutions to support industry competitiveness through public/private partnership and entrepreneurship. **Note: Projects deemed not relevant to any of the program objectives will be ineligible for support and need not be evaluated further.**

Highly Relevant _____

Marginally Relevant _____

Not Relevant _____

Comments:

B. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL MERIT (25 points max): Please consider (1) scientific importance, clarity, and specificity of the proposed project; 2) technical feasibility of carrying out project in proposed time frame and likelihood of achieving objectives and 3) compliance with environmental, human subject, animal study, or chemical hazards protocols as applicable

Strengths:

Limitations:

C. CAPABILITIES OF PARTNER INSTITUTIONS AND INDIVIDUALS (20 points max):

Please consider (1) professional background and achievements to date, including significance of publications; (2) demonstrated ability to carry out proposed project based on past activities; (3) recognition from peers, professional societies, and other institutions; and (4) potential for future scientific achievements and/or commercialization.

Strengths:

Limitations:

D. NATURE OF COLLABORATION (20 points max): Please comment on (1) expected benefits to the Pakistani and U.S. participants and their institutions; (2) prospects for long-term collaboration following the completion of the current project; (3) appropriateness of match between the expertise and backgrounds of the participants; and (4) demonstrated commitment of the proposing partners to ensuring the participation of women in the project.

Strengths:

Limitations:

E. COST EFFECTIVENESS OF PROJECT (10 points max): Please comment on the appropriateness of the proposed budget, including recommendations on increasing or decreasing specific budget items, if necessary.

Strengths:

Limitations:

F. COMMERCIAL VIABILITY AND TECHNOLOGY (10 bonus points max): Please comment on following items: (1) the type and strength of patent claims, (2) significance of market need and opportunity , (3) is there a clear path to a commercially viable product or technology transfer, (4) probability of attracting additional funding from other sources (industry, government, investors, etc.).

Strengths:

Limitations: