

Perspective on New Worlds, New Horizons

Roger Blandford

KIPAC

Stanford

On behalf of himself!

Features

- **Science First**
 - Discovery space->recommended projects
- **C(R)ATE**
 - Developed procedures, adapt to different projects
- **Community Engagement**
 - 324 SWP, 69 SOP, 70 TD+Th+C+LAp, 108 RA, 16 TH
- **DOE**
 - Cultural conflicts resolvable; mutually beneficial
- **International connection**
 - Many contacts before, during and after survey
- **Funding uncertainty**
 - JWST, long term commitment, decision rules

Changing world continues to mandate innovation

Science Frontiers

- **Cosmology and Fundamental Physics**
 - Gravitational wave astronomy
- **Galactic Neighborhood**
 - Time domain astronomy, astrometry
- **Galaxies Across Cosmic Time**
 - Epoch of reionization
- **Planetary Systems and Star Formation**
 - Identification and characterization of nearest habitable planet
- **Stars and Stellar Evolution**
 - Time-domain surveys

Cosmic Dawn, New Worlds, Physics of the Universe

Major discoveries were "unscripted"

- CMB - antenna noise
- Quasars (massive BH) - radio source identifications
- Stellar BHs - X-ray source identifications
- Massive and mutable ν 's - checking solar model
- Neutron stars - lunar X-rays
- Pulsars - interplanetary scintillation
- Gamma ray bursts - nuclear weapons monitoring
- Dark matter - galactic and stellar orbits
- Cosmic acceleration - supernova explosions
- Gravitational lenses - quasar follow up
- Gravitational radiation - pulsar timing
- ...

Discoveries at interfaces; stovepiping is futile

Central Paradox of Strategic Planning

- **October 2014 - April 2015 (APS):**
 - Planck results->standard model ($w, n_s, \Omega_b, \Omega_c, h, \sigma_8$), dusty B-modes
 - 8 “habitable??” exoplanets->life in the universe???
 - 9 new dwarf galaxies -> dark matter limits
 - $\sim 10^{10} M_{\text{sun}}$ black hole at $z=6$ ->cosmic dawn
 - Gravitational lenses - SN, ALMA-> pre-SN, substructure
 - M82 neutron star with $L \sim 100 L_{\text{edd}}$ ->accretion physics
 - PD456 outflows->environmental impact, galaxy formation
 - FRBs 40% circ pol->new transients 10-100MHz
 - IceCube neutrinos -> cosmological origin?
 - ...

By contrast we are making science plans for 2030s

Program Prioritization

- Electromagnetic Observations from Space
- Optical and Infrared Astronomy from the Ground
- Particle Astrophysics and Gravitation
- Radio, Millimeter and Submillimeter Astronomy from the Ground
- Space:
 - WFIRST(AFTA), Exp, LISA (LPF), IXO (Athena)
 - TD (NWP,IP)
 - Small
- Ground:
 - LSST, MSIP, GSMT, ACT(CTA)
 - CCAT
 - Small

More progress than seemed likely in summer 2010 !

International Context

- **Most major projects are multinational**
 - **Private initiatives (GMT, TMT) intrinsically international**
 - Cost and complexity vs stability
- **Increasing foreign investment in fundamental research**
 - **Traditionally, Europe, Japan, Canada, Australia ...**
 - **Now China, India, Korea, Taiwan, Africa, South America, Mexico...**
 - FAST, AstroSAT, GMT, radio instrumentation, MeerKAT, optical, HAWC, LMT...
 - Is US becoming too risk averse, conservative, slow...?
- **Major -> Equal -> Minor -> No role??**
 - **HST -> ALMA -> Planck -> SKA??**
 - **LSST, JWST (but Ariane launch!) vs Euclid, Astro-H**
- **Technology, visa limitations**
 - **Has US gained more than it has given?**
- **Open skies**
 - **Political considerations**

US has to adjust to growing non-US program

Status of Profession

- Astronomy and Public Policy
- Computation and Data-Handling
- Demographics
- Education and Public Outreach
- Infrastructure
- International and Public-Private Partnerships

Large impact on report but output never published

Issues for Committee

- **WFIRST/AFTA**
 - Harrison: cost, schedule, risk, science trades, Euclid, Plan B...
- **LSST science/data support**
 - Scope of DOE science program, access, data
- **GSMT**
 - Schedule, risk, running costs relationship to JWST, LSST, ALMA
- **Access to Space**
 - Cost and capability, launch HDST?, learn from JWST, cubesats...
- **OMB/OSTP**
 - Budgets, agency programs, cancellation criteria, termination incentives
- **International collaboration**
 - Long-term commitment, open skies
- **Facility perpetuation**
 - Senior reviews -> facility/astronomer transitions, observatory closure

Report must reflect charge but discussion can be broader

Set up of Astro 2020

- **Lessons Learned report (Space Science)**
 - Consensus, CATE, budget, balance, stewardship...
- **Clear charge from agencies**
 - Add OMB/OSTP?; integrate SR?
- **Smaller, younger committee**
 - Individual breadth of experience, interests
- **Engage community early**
 - Acceptance of recommendations essential
- **Formalize international coordination**
 - Start early, keep IAU, AAS engaged
- **Organize Profession/Infrastructure output**
 - Plan dissemination of reports, data
- **Long-term stewardship**
 - AAS?, CAA/DSIAC

Candidate programs will be more mature this time