



Space Traffic Management

National Academy of Sciences ASEB Meeting
Oct. 12-14, 2016
Theresa Hitchens

The Problem

- **Increased activity on orbit**
 - **1,381 active satellites; 23,000 pieces identified debris (>10 cm); millions more of smaller debris**
 - **Increased number of operators with different skill sets, now some 80 including even universities and NGOs**
 - **Plans for thousands of small “Cubesats” in LEO for new functions such as space-based Internet**
 - **Congestion in usable orbits: increased RF interference, potential for collision (poles, LEO)**
 - **Inadequate capabilities to monitor satellite and debris disposition**

The Problem, cont'd

- Lacunae of legal and/or regulatory mechanisms re operations on orbit
- International treaties address only a few aspects
 - OST 1967: States responsible for gov / non-gov sat activities; shall avoid harmful contamination; bans sovereignty in space; States liable for damage caused by space objects
 - Liability Convention 1972: States responsible for objects launched from territory (some dispute); absolute liability for damage on Earth, fault-based for damage to other space objects

The Problem, cont'd

- Registration Convention 1975: requires launching States to maintain a registry of ALL objects launched; report basic info to UN Registry “as soon as practicable”
 - Name of launching State or States; an appropriate designator of the space object or its registration number; date and territory or location of launch; basic orbital parameters including:
 - Nodal period
 - Inclination
 - Apogee
 - Perigee
 - General function of the space object
 - CAN report more info, not required
 - No requirement to report after initial launch parameters

The Problem, cont'd

- In US, plethora of regulating agencies – sometimes using different standards (i.e. debris mitigation)
 - FAA – ground ops, launch phase
 - FCC – RF frequency, licensing of commercial sats
 - NASA – licensing of NASA launches
 - DoD – licensing of military launches
 - State – responsible for compliance with int'l treaties, and sat registration with the UN
- This means different congressional authorities invested

The Problem, cont'd

- **SSA a critical foundation for safety, security and sustainability of space operations**
 - **Few countries have capabilities: US, Russia, China, shares some France, EU**
 - **USAF has the best SSA system and shares some basic positioning data and conjunction warnings**
 - System still has technical gaps
 - Wants to offload this mission to civilian agency (FAA?)
 - BUT doesn't want to let go of data collection/provision
 - Which make movement to a civilian agency moot
 - **No other country routinely shares data**

The Question

- Is a new regime for space activities to regulate satellite operations – Space Traffic Management (STM) – now required to ensure safety of satellites and sustainability of the space environment?
- Aspects of this question now under discussion in the United States, as well as several international bodies
 - COPUOS STS Working Group on Long-Term Sustainability guidelines for best practices (2010-2018)
 - COPUOS Legal Subcommittee mandate for STM discussion (2015 ...)
 - NASA study mandated by Congress 2015; Nov. 2016 deadline

What Is STM?

- No legal or agreed definition
 - 2006 study conducted by the International Academy of Astronautics (Cosmic Study on Space Traffic Management) defines STM as follows: “Space traffic management means the set of technical and regulatory provisions for promoting safe access to outer space and return from outer space to Earth free from physical or radio-frequency interference.”
 - IAA working on follow-on study
- No agreement on need for legal vs. voluntary measures

Potential Elements of STM

- Cosmic Study identifies three “phases” of space operations (both technical and regulatory) where STM would be relevant:
 - Launch phase: define air space vs. outer space; clarify definition of “space object;” clarify “launching State;” *institute pre-launch notification requirement*
 - In-orbit operations phase: maneuvering/collision avoidance; lack of adequate SST; ITU rules re orbital slots only applicable in GEO; *need “zoning” (restrictions on certain activities in certain orbits)?*
 - Re-entry phase: could certain descent corridors be mandated?

STM Elements, cont'd

- Widespread agreement on need for improved debris mitigation
 - UN voluntary Debris Mitigation Guidelines 2008; COPUOS LTS
- Ditto need for improved SST/SSA, especially data sharing
 - Debris mitigation guidelines, UN 2013 GGE on TCBMs, COPUOS LTS, EU draft Code of Conduct
 - No solution due to perceived national security needs
 - STM impossible without a global baseline of SST data
- Efforts to improve collision avoidance capabilities
 - Both at international, national and industry level
 - JSpOC JSM III; AGI COMSpOC

STM Elements, cont'd

- Need for notification/regulation of satellite maneuvers under discussion
 - GGE, COPUOS LTS, academia
- Consideration of active debris removal (both technical aspects and regulatory) under discussion
 - Potential COPUOS LTS agenda item
 - Industry/academic consideration of tech approach, legal issues
- RF interference increasing (ITU no enforcement power)

STM Elements, cont'd

- “Cubesats” and very small sats falling outside regulatory regimes --- how to manage?
 - Often use frequencies that do not require ITU registration
 - In US, no regulatory body
 - Difficult to track due to size
 - Short-life spans = debris increase
- Ideas for management include reflectors, transponders or ban on usage above certain altitudes

Key Challenges

- International treaty rights and obligations vague
 - Are many legal disagreements
- National regulations/practices differ widely
- Potential new activities (ADR, RPO, asteroid mining, civilians in space) lack governance methodologies
- National STM alone cannot solve problems, thus international agreements required; SSA sharing critical
- National security tensions rising – breeds secrecy
 - Question of space warfare in future?

Conclusions

- A global STM regime logical given increase in space traffic
 - Precedents in air (ICAO); sea (Law of the Seat Treaty)
- Current debates reflect different aspects of the problem, but no “one stop shop” approach or solution
- Geopolitical tensions (US/Russia/China) creating hurdles
- EXPECT MUCH DITHERING FOR FORESEABLE FUTURE

Questions?



Theresa Hitchens



Senior Research Scholar



Center for International and Security Studies at Maryland (CISSM), University of Maryland



Email: thitchen@umd.edu



Phone: 202 674 2051