life detection capabilities of LUVOIR and HabEx WFIRST ... and WFIRST

ATLAST

a prior study of a LUVOIR-like mission

> Shawn Domagal-Goldman NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Deputy Study Scientist, LUVOIR Science and Technology Definition Team member, HabEx

Exo-S Starshade

prior study of a

tarshade mission

a prior study of a

Habex-likte mission









Meadows, 2016

Is the flux our biosignature?

O ₂ Generation Mechanism	Stellar Host	O ₂ Column Depth (molecules/cm ²)	O ₃ Column Depth (molecules/cm ²)	O ₂ production rate (molecules/cm ² /s)
Modern Earth Biology	G-type star	~5×10 ²⁴	~6×10 ¹⁸	~1×10 ¹⁹
Archean Earth Biology	G-type star	~4×10 ¹⁶	~8×10 ¹¹	~3×10 ¹¹
Photochemistry (Harman et al.)	G-type star	~2×10 ¹⁹	~7×10 ¹⁴	~1×10 ¹²
Photochemistry (Harman et al.)	M-type star	~2×10 ²³	~3×10 ¹⁸	~3×10 ¹¹
H escape (Luger et al., Schwieterman et al.)	M-type star	~1×10 ²⁶	~2×10 ¹⁹	~3×10 ¹²



Up to 18 m diameter (254 m²)

• ···· 15 m diameter ·····

LUV0IR/HabEx

· ···· 12 m diameter ······	•••
····· 9 m diameter ·····	
····· 6 m diameter ·····	

Collecting areas based on possible mirror sizes and limited by the expected launch capabilities





NASA/JPL

Difference between LUVOIR and HabEx ?

Both LUVOIR and HabEx have two primary science goals

Habitable exoplanets & biosignatures

Broad range of general astrophysics



The two architectures will be driven by difference in focus

- For LUVOIR, both goals are on equal footing. LUVOIR will be a general purpose "great observatory", a successor to HST and JWST in the ~ 8 16 m class
- HabEx will be optimized for exoplanet imaging, but also enable a range of general astrophysics. It is a more focused mission in the $\sim 4 8$ m class

Similar exoplanet goals, differing in quantitative levels of ambition

- HabEx will *explore* the nearest stars to "search for" signs of habitability & biosignatures via direct detection of reflected light
- LUVOIR will *survey* more stars to "constrain the frequency" of habitability & biosignatures and produce a statistically meaningful sample of exoEarths

The two studies will provide a continuum of options for a range of futures



UV oxygen emission from Europa water vapor jets observed with HST



Credit: NASA/ESA/L. Roth/SWRI/University of Cologne



ExoEarth candidates as function of aperture



Stark et al. (2014)

If frequency of habitable conditions is 10%, need 30 candidates to guarantee seeing one true exoEarth (at 95% confidence)





C. Stark, Using SAG13 Occurrence Rates



J. Tumlinson's Online Spectra Tool (Tumlinson, Robinson, Arney, et al)





Notional LUVOIR/ HabEx instruments

Observational challenge

Faint planets next to bright stars

Solution

OBSCURA

Optical-IR Band Spectroscopy and Coronagraph for Rocky Atmospheres Contrast < 10⁻¹⁰ to observe exoEarths Low resolution spectroscopy (R > 150) Baseline bandpass: 0.4 μm to 1.8 μm Ambitious bandpass: 0.2 μm to 2.4 μm No space-based analog

Jupiter Earth Venus



Vector vortex coronagraph (Credit: D. Mawet)

Notional LUVOIR/ potential HabEx instruments

Observational challenge

No UV through Earth's atmosphere

Solution

LUMOS

(LUVOIR UV Multi-Object Spectrograph)

Far-UV to near-UV spectroscopy High resolution (R ~ 10⁵) spectroscopy Med. res. multi-object spectroscopy Near-UV imaging Major upgrade of HST STIS





HST STIS UV instrument

Notional LUVOIR/ potential HabEx instruments

Observational challenge

Imaging wide fields at high resolution

Solution

High-Definition Imager

4 – 6 arcmin field-of-view
Optical to near-IR bandpass
Possibly high precision astrometry to measure planet masses
Major upgrade of HST WFC3





HST Wide Field Camera 3

Notional LUVOIR instruments

Observational challenge

Measuring warm molecules present in Earth's atmosphere

<u>Solution</u>

Optical / Near-IR Spectrograph

Multiple resolutions up to R ~ 10⁵ High photometric precision for transits Possibly high precision RV to measure planet masses No space-based analog



Credit: Natasha Batalha



ESPRESSO spectrograph for VLT (Credit: ESO)

Technological challenges

Need heavy lift launch vehicle with large fairing

Suitable vehicles (SLS and commercial) in development

Compatibility of UV and coronagraphy

New lab work shows UV reflective mirrors are just fine for coronagraphy

Ultra-high contrast observations with a segmented telescope

Coronagraphs can be designed for segmented telescopes. Working hard to demonstrate needed system stability

Starshade Deployment, Edge Tolerance, and Formation Flying

Lots of progress on deployment, both from JPL testbed and via JWST sunshield Formation flying likely not limiting, but slewing of the starshade may limit # of observations Edge tolerance currently the one of the biggest challenges for starshades.









From J. Crist via M. Bolcar