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Committee Charge

...design a multi-year, multi-phase evaluation

... and in Phase 1:
Assess available data;
Develop preliminary set of indicators;
Engage with stakeholders, reform experts, civic leaders; and
Explore desirability, feasibility, and scope of a sustainable program of evaluation.
A Special Assignment

The National Research Council of the National Academies is honored to have been asked to participate in the city’s efforts to assure that ALL the city’s children receive the education they need and deserve.

The Committee recognizes the essential nature of the task it was given.
Committee Approach

• Distinguish among intent of reform, its implementation, and its effects on student learning and other outcomes
• Tabula [almost] rasa: reform models
• Test scores necessary but not sufficient
• DC needs [deserves] independent, sustainable, ongoing program of evaluation
Committee Process

- Solicit stakeholder views (two public meetings and other interactions)
- Review preliminary evidence
- Study context and history of DC education reform
- Consider other examples of urban reform
- Review literature
- Deliberate during -- and between -- 3 committee meetings
Contents of the Report

• Overview of history/context of school reform in U.S. and public education in DC
• Outline of initial response to PERAA
• First impressions of DC progress based on test scores
• First impressions of DC progress in key areas of responsibility
• Detailed model for evaluation plan and recommendations
Preliminary Impressions

• New structures are in place
• New strategies are being adopted
• Data are being collected…
  … but are not yet sufficient as basis for judgments about effects of PERAA or guidance for future improvements
More Impressions

• DC Test scores are on an upward trajectory …
  … but have flattened slightly during the most recent two school years

• NAEP data also suggest improved student performance in DC

• But…
... Definitive conclusions would be premature

Caveats and cautions

- The DC CAS scores provide estimates of mastery of specific academic skills, but do not explain the observed levels or trends.
- Scores are averaged across the entire student population, and do not provide information on specific groups.
- Student mobility confounds score meanings.
Recommendation 1

DC needs a stable, independent program of evaluation that includes:

1- long-term monitoring and public reporting of key indicators and

2- a portfolio of in-depth studies of high-priority issues
Evaluation Priorities

• personnel;
• classroom teaching and learning;
• vulnerable children and youth;
• family and community engagement;
• operations, management, and facilities
Evaluation Design

- Rigorous scientific standards for data collection and analysis;
- Process for determining high priorities for District stakeholders;
- Attention to available resources;
- Independence and objectivity = credibility and utility
Recommendation 2

The Office of the Mayor of the District of Columbia should produce an annual report to the city with:

• summary and analysis of trends in regularly collected indicators,
• summary of key points from in-depth studies of target issues, and
• an appendix with complete data and analysis.
Looking Forward

Infrastructure is needed to ensure:

• Responsiveness to city needs
• Funding
• Independence
• Stability
• Multiple sources of data
• Comprehensive and objective information to guide continuous improvement
Next Steps

Outreach and collaboration with:

• City leaders
• School leaders and teachers
• Community leaders
• Parents
Next Steps (continued)

Issues:

• Evaluation priorities
• Proposed design
• Funding Options