

1 Sociocultural Contexts of Game-Based Learning

2 Mizuko Ito

3 University of California, Irvine

4 In order to understand how games and simulations can support informal science
5 learning, it's crucial to appreciate the specific social, cultural, infrastructural, and
6 economic contexts that structure gaming and software-centered play in homes,
7 gaming communities, and everyday peer play. Kurt Squire's whitepaper has outlined
8 the range of different game, simulation, and program designs that characterize
9 efforts to support scientific learning outside of the classroom. In this response
10 paper, I add to Squire's approach by drawing attention to the broader social,
11 cultural, technical, and economic contexts that drive gaming practice outside of
12 school. My argument is that gaming practice outside of school is structured by
13 commercial media, kids' peer cultures, and family dynamics, and any effort to insert
14 scientific learning in this space must be highly responsive to these existing settings
15 and associated genres of practice. Although evidence of how science learning is
16 supported in recreational, voluntary, and familial gaming contexts is limited,
17 research is beginning to identify opportunity areas that deserve to be investigated
18 further.

19 After presenting a framework for understanding contexts for informal game-based
20 learning, I present some areas of practice that have shown promise for scientific
21 learning more specifically, also describing unique challenges in working in the
22 informal space. I conclude by suggesting some areas for future research and
23 intervention.

24 Contexts for Recreational and Social Gaming

25 Although schools have begun to adopt games and simulations, clearly informal and
26 out-of-school settings overwhelmingly dominant kids' gaming experiences. It is
27 worth underscoring this obvious point that gaming is primarily a sociable and
28 recreational activity, but also that the contexts that we consider "informal" are
29 incredibly diverse. While it is important to understand the distinctions between
30 informal and formal learning environments (which Squire's paper effectively
31 covers), it is equally important to understand the diversity of contexts that structure
32 the informal space. In fact, the differences *between* different informal contexts are at
33 least as significant as those the differences that distinguish the formal and informal
34 from one another.

35 Social and recreational gaming is structured primarily by four sociocultural contexts
36 that are present in varying degrees in any kind of gaming practice. This typology is
37 informed by the Digital Youth Project, a recently completed ethnographic study of
38 youth new media practice (Ito, et al. 2009).

39 *Everyday peer cultures of game play.* The dominant context that structures game
40 practice is everyday social play among "given" local peers and siblings. Recent

1 studies document that gaming is practically ubiquitous among US children and
2 teens, and is associated more with social integration than isolation (Ito and Bittanti
3 2009; Kahne, et al. 2009; Kutner and Olson 2008). In other words, gaming has
4 become a key part of what we identified as casual "hanging out" and "killing time"
5 gaming practices. These more casual and social forms of gaming are increasingly
6 crossing gender and age lines (Ito and Bittanti 2009).

7 *Intentional gaming groups and communities.* For kids who are more heavily invested
8 in gaming as an area of interest, more focused "intentional" gaming groups, both
9 online and local, become an important context. These kids are a minority, are most
10 commonly boys, and distinguish themselves for more casual and recreational
11 gamers, often self-identifying as gamers or geeks. Locally, kids might frequent
12 cybercafés or set up LAN parties. The online space provides for a newly expanded
13 set of gaming experiences that extend kids' social network specifically around a
14 gaming interest. It is in these more "geeked out" gaming practices that we seen
15 highly focused kinds of interest-driven learning and creative production such as
16 machinima and mods, and the production of game sites, reviews, walkthroughs, and
17 cheats (Ito and Bittanti 2009).

18 *Family and home life.* The home and the family is the context that provisions gaming
19 resources for most kids (consoles, titles, space, etc.), and in many families, siblings
20 and increasingly, parents, are gaming companions. At the same time, parents and
21 siblings compete for access to home entertainment resources in the home, and most
22 parents will have various rules and limits in place surrounding game play. While we
23 have seen potential for gaming to become a shared focus for productive learning in
24 the family context, it is also an arena fraught with tension as gaming is generally
25 considered (by both parents and kids) an activity in opposition to academic learning
26 (Buckingham 2007; Horst 2009; Ito and Bittanti 2009; Stevens, et al. 2007).

27 *Commercial and public media culture.* The context of the commercial gaming
28 industry is also an important factor in considering social and recreational gaming,
29 and one that is often overlooked in more educationally-minded efforts. Any
30 intervention in the social and recreational space will have to compete with
31 commercial production and marketing for kids' attentions. History has
32 demonstrated the challenges of inserting learning software and educational agendas
33 into practices already saturated with commercial media culture (Buckingham 2007;
34 Buckingham and Scanlon 2002; Giacquinta, et al. 1993; Ito 2009; Seiter 2005). While
35 independent, educational, and civic games have been a marginal but persistent
36 feature of the commercial games landscape, we have yet to witness the emergence
37 of a robust alternative market for public interest games that are comparable to
38 public media in television or radio.

39 These existing contexts of social and recreational gaming powerfully determine the
40 potential and promise of alternative and new forms of gaming practice. Just as the
41 classroom culture and educational accountabilities structure gaming in formal
42 educational environments, the informal space is also saturated with structuring
43 institutions, but of a more varied nature.

1 Opportunities and Challenges

2 In order to understand the opportunity space for informal science learning through
3 games, educators and game designers must first come to grips with the fact that
4 existing social and recreational gaming contexts operate largely in opposition to
5 academic cultural domains and practice, including science. At the same time, the
6 high degree of technical expertise and systemic thinking required of contemporary
7 digital practices, as well as opportunities for peer-based learning and collaboration
8 in online networks, create new openings for scientific learning. In particular, we
9 have found that the contemporary social and recreational media environment is
10 ripe for opportunities for self-directed, customized, and interest-driven learning,
11 that in some instances mesh with science-oriented learning (Ito, et al. 2009). Here I
12 describe some evidence that we do have of genres of activity and learning that have
13 the potential to support informal scientific learning through gaming. These are
14 domains of practice that are already established in kids' social and recreational
15 worlds, and where science-oriented games-based learning could potentially make
16 further inroads.

17 *Networked Peer Publics*

18 For those kids who are involved in "geeked out" gaming practices such as MMOs,
19 LAN parties, and game modding, gaming can become a powerful vehicle for self-
20 directed, interest driven learning that results in collateral learning related to
21 technology, engineering, and knowledge seeking and exchange. As Squire notes in
22 his review of studies in this space, online groups mobilizing through games like
23 World of Warcraft, I Love Bees, or Whyville have demonstrated the possibilities of
24 new forms of collaborative problem solving and collective action which exhibit
25 properties of scientific inquiry. Even among local, more casual forms of gaming, kids
26 develop capacities for social exchange that center on esoteric and specialized
27 knowledge domains, and where gaming knowledge becomes a source of social
28 capital. These dynamics have been documented with younger kids playing games
29 like Pokemon and Yugioh in local peer groups (Buckingham and Sefton-Green 2004;
30 Ito 2007; Sefton-Green 2004; Willett 2004). While kids develop some capacity for
31 knowledge networking through local peer groups, the online environment facilitates
32 access to more sophisticated and specialized forms of knowledge and inquiry. As the
33 networked gaming demographic becomes younger because of games like Club
34 Penguin, Poptropolis, Neopets, and Runescape, we can expect these kinds of
35 dispositions towards networked knowledge to become more pervasive.

36 Gaming represents a domain of interest-driven learning that has low barriers to
37 initial entry, and where kids can move along a trajectory of casual social gaming
38 ("hanging out"), to exploration and knowledge seeking ("messing around") to more
39 intensive forms of knowledge exchange and production ("geeking out"). We found
40 many instances in our case studies where gaming became an entry point for a wider
41 range of technical and interest-driven practices such as hardware hacking, video
42 production, design, and coding (Ito, et al. 2009). At the same time, a few cautionary
43 notes are in order. Although these kinds of peer based learning contexts exhibit

1 properties of scientific inquiry and technology and media-based literacy, it is rare to
2 find recreational gaming groups that are focused on explicitly scientific content.
3 Whyville is one exception to this and demonstrates that a voluntary, social gaming
4 environment that focuses on science can succeed in the informal space. At the same
5 time, it is important to recognize that the popularity of a space like Whyville is
6 dwarfed by games such as Pokemon, Runescape, Neopets, or Club Penguin, that
7 have very little in the way of explicit scientific content. Secondly, the more geeked
8 out dimensions of gaming communities continue to be dominated by boys, even as
9 the casual gaming demographic expands to include more women and girls (Kafai, et
10 al. 2008). Games like Pokemon (Ito 2008), Neopets, and Whyville (Kafai
11 Forthcoming) do demonstrate that girls can be captivated by these kinds of gaming
12 activities, but there is still a resilient cultural bias that works against girls
13 assuming a game geek identity.

14 *Enrichment Activities*

15 Shifting focus from the more purely social and recreational contexts of gaming to
16 contexts that are more adult guided, certain forms of gaming have historically
17 enjoyed a privileged status as an "enrichment" activity. Chess, Scrabble, and Go are
18 examples of games that are generally adult sanctioned, are culturally validated as
19 learning games, and can be tied to more structured kinds of clubs and competitions.
20 The online world is breathing new life into these longstanding brain game genres. In
21 the eighties, we saw the emergence of a genre of electronic learning games, under
22 the rubric of "edutainment," that were largely marketed to adults as enrichment
23 activities for kids. Games such as Civilization or those under the Sims and Lucas
24 Learning labels were developed that were more entertainment-oriented, but had a
25 stamp of approval from parents and educators and often crossed over to the school
26 and enrichment space (Ito 2009). Squire's whitepaper introduces us to a new
27 generation of learning games that exploit more of the potential of networked and
28 social gaming. These kinds of games have the potential to become a focus for
29 intergenerational gaming that has a more explicit learning agenda, that can be
30 linked to more structured learning settings, and is not dominated by the commercial
31 gaming industry and the logic of existing kids' peer cultures. Here there is more
32 potential to insert explicitly scientific content, as well as supporting the
33 development of capacities for knowledge seeking, inquiry, and exchange.

34 The genre of adult-guided enrichment activity does pose unique challenges,
35 however. While younger children are more open to adult guidance in the media they
36 consume, as they enter their late elementary and teen years, kids become more
37 resistant to adults dictating their media choices. This is why the edutainment
38 market is largely targeted towards early childhood, and why games with an explicit
39 learning agenda find a hard time sustaining interest in the home context for older
40 kids. Further, unlike the recreational and mainstream console gaming space, these
41 enrichment-oriented games suffer from certain class associations, and are culturally
42 marked as more highbrow media forms. This means that any interventions within
43 this genre of game play needs to be attentive to issues of class distinction,

1 accessibility, and status issues in kids' peer cultures. Research on media access has
2 demonstrated that while game consoles and entertainment titles are pervasive in
3 even lower income homes, PCs and learning software are not widespread
4 (Buckingham and Scanlon 2002; Giacuinta, et al. 1993; Roberts and Foehr 2008),
5 nor are they associated with positive social capital within kids' peer networks.
6 Studies of homes and family dynamics have demonstrated that parental cultivation
7 of enrichment activities and the insertion of learning agendas into kids play is
8 associated with more interventionist middle class parenting styles (Lareau 2003;
9 Seiter 2007). With the addition of geeky and scientific content, the potential for
10 alienating certain populations of kids increases dramatically, as we see with the
11 cultural stereotypes associated with playing chess and other "brainy" games. In the
12 case of the privatized contexts of the home, these kinds of socioeconomic and
13 cultural distinctions are in full force, because the contexts do not benefit from the
14 same equalizing effects that we see in public educational contexts. As Squire
15 describes in the cases of Kafai's Whyville work, his own work with Civilization, or
16 the work of the Fifth Dimension project, afterschool spaces and computer clubs can
17 function as mediating contexts in broadening access to these enrichment oriented
18 genres of gaming.

19 *Public Culture*

20 Squire ends his whitepaper with an appeal to mobilize games in order to support
21 the development of a scientifically literate populace. He cites the example of the
22 educational film, Mr. Sun, which saw popular uptake in both homes and schools.
23 Like other forms of popular media like television, film, radio, newspapers, and
24 magazines, games have the potential to function as public media that can enrich our
25 scientific literacy. Public television, documentaries, science magazines and features
26 are well-established genres for this science education in public media culture.
27 Games such SimEarth,, the Dr. Brain series, Planetary Taxi, the Magic School Bus
28 series, DinoPark Tycoon, and the Incredible Machine opened up this genre in the
29 eighties and nineties. Squire reviews a wave of new science-based games that
30 update this genre of popular science gaming for the current networked games
31 ecology. Games can clearly be a compelling vehicle for representing scientific
32 knowledge and generating interest.

33 The challenge with popular science gaming is that the science learning can be very
34 elusive and diffuse without a social context that supports deeper inquiry and
35 engagement. We lack evidence that games in the genre of popular science lead to
36 scientific interests, literacy, or dispositions in the absence of a social or educational
37 context that fosters deeper engagement. In my studies in the late nineties of play
38 with games such as The Magic School Bus Explores the Human Body, DinoPark
39 Tycoon, or The Island of Dr. Brain, I found that kids rarely oriented to the scientific
40 content of the game without the explicit intervention of an educationally-minded
41 adult. One their own, these games become absorbed into the dynamics of kids' play
42 culture, and kids were more focused on "beating" the game and playing with the
43 special effects then engagement with the scientific content domain (Ito 2009). The

1 popular science genre functioned more as a way of legitimizing the game for the
2 adult provisioners rather than as a focus of interest for kids. Unlike more traditional
3 analog media, games are highly responsive to player intentionality and context, and
4 kids can easily circumvent engagement with "content" when playing with an
5 entertaining simulation or multimedia adventure.

6 A related challenge is in navigating the boundary between the genre of more school-
7 based content and entertainment content. While many of the early edutainment
8 games were designed for a consumer market, in today's online ecology, it is very
9 difficult for a game in an educational genre to be successfully marketed to home-
10 based players. Some successful commercial games, such as Spore, Portal, or puzzle
11 games incorporate mathematical thinking or scientific referents, but are light on
12 scientific content. More content-heavy games such as River City or WolfQuest were
13 developed with public sector funding support, and are not designed or marketed as
14 consumer entertainment titles. In order for games to be successful in home-based
15 and recreational space, they need to acquire legitimacy and status within kids' peer
16 cultures of play, and explicit scientific content is a difficult sell, particularly for older
17 kids. While it may be possible for these public sector games to break in to more
18 mainstream consumer awareness, like other forms of public media, it is an ongoing
19 challenge to orchestrate a genre crossover.

20 *Next Steps*

21 The current state of science gaming in informal environments, and our state of
22 knowledge about this area suggests a few areas that are ripe for future inquiry. On
23 the research side, we need effective methodologies and frameworks for
24 documenting and assessing learning in social and recreational gaming contexts.
25 Most of the research on learning outcomes is derived from assessment methods
26 designed for formal educational contexts where context is assumed to be relatively
27 controlled, and outcomes are measured on an individual basis. In informal
28 environments such as the home or most afterschool contexts, players can exercise
29 much more choice, both in the selection of the game as well as in determining the
30 mode of play. In other words, the surrounding "social envelope" (Giacquinta, et al.
31 1993), genre, and purpose of the activity is powerfully determining of learning
32 outcomes, outcomes that are highly diverse and often unpredictable. It is not simply
33 that educators must recognize that kids will experience different outcomes from
34 participation. Some kids may orient towards scientific content, others towards
35 knowledge networking, and others toward hacking and tinkering, all with the same
36 gaming title. In fact, it is the ability to specialize and develop individualized and
37 interest-driven trajectories that is one of the most important features of the
38 informal learning space. Evaluating learning in these kinds of contexts needs to rely
39 less on standardized measures of skill and knowledge and more on an assessment of
40 the properties of particular contexts to support diverse and specialized knowledge
41 seeking, exchange, and interest-driven learning.

42 Research also needs to look at the comparative benefits of environments such as
43 specific home-based gaming configurations, afterschool programs, and online

1 gaming groups to support learning trajectories towards scientific interests and
2 identities. Further, it is crucial that we develop ways of tracing learning as it
3 happens across these different contexts and in relation to school-based learning.
4 Engagement with science games or media titles in purely recreational or social-peer
5 based contexts are unlikely to be tied to durable scientific knowledge or dispositions
6 without links to and from more academic learning contexts. Rather than simply
7 evaluate the effect of particular environments, software, or programs on learning,
8 we need to evaluate whether that learning builds synergistic ways with other
9 institutional frames and social contexts, or whether those boundaries are
10 characterized by tension and opacity.

11 On I related note, I would suggest that on the design and program development side,
12 success hinges on new kinds of educational and public media partnerships that can
13 bridge some of the boundaries between formal and informal learning spaces, the
14 public and private sector, and educational and entertainment genres of media. While
15 educators and technology developers tend to focus on the design of technology and
16 programs, in order to bridge existing social practices and cultural genres, we need to
17 spend much more energy intervening on the broader sociocultural and political
18 economic conditions that condition how technologies are marketed, distributed, and
19 taken up by diverse players. For example, it is as critical to spend resources on
20 supporting gaming communities, parent outreach, and afterschool centers as it is to
21 fund new technology development. Infusing scientific learning into kids play and
22 social life, and conversely, mobilizing kids passions for interest-driven learning for
23 science, both require new kinds of institutional and economic alliances, bringing
24 parents, commercial industries, and community organizations more effectively into
25 the agenda of science education.

26 References

27

- 28 Buckingham, David
29 2007 *Beyond Technology: Children's Learning in the Age of Digital Culture*.
30 Malden, MA: Polity Press.
- 31 Buckingham, David, and Margaret Scanlon
32 2002 *Education, Entertainment and Learning in the Home*. London: Open
33 University Press.
- 34 Buckingham, David, and Julian Sefton-Green
35 2004 Structure, Agency, and Pedagogy in Children's Media Culture. *In*
36 *Pikachu's Global Adventure: The Rise and Fall of Pokémon*. J. Tobin, ed. Pp.
37 12-33. Durham: Duke University Press.
- 38 Giacquinta, Joesph B., Jo Anne Bauer, and Jane E. Levin
39 1993 *Beyond Technology's Promise: An Examination of Children's*
40 *Educational Computing in the Home*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University
41 Press.
- 42 Horst, Heather
43 2009 Families. *In* *Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids*
44 *Living and Learning with New Media*. The John D. and Catherine T.

- 1 MacArthur Foundation Series on Digital Media and Learning. Cambridge, MA:
2 MIT Press.
- 3 Ito, Mizuko
4 2007 Technologies of the Childhood Imagination: Yu-Gi-Oh., Media Mixes,
5 and Everyday Cultural Production. *In Structures of Participation in Digital*
6 *Culture*. J. Karaganis, ed. Pp. 88-111. New York: SSRC.
- 7 —
8 2008 Gender Dynamics of the Japanese Media Mix. *In Beyond Barbie and*
9 *Mortal Kombat: New Perspectives on Gender and Gaming*. Y.B. Kafai, C.
10 Heeter, J. Denner, and J.Y. Sun, eds. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 11 —
12 2009 Engineering Play: A Cultural History of Children's Software.
13 Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 14 Ito, Mizuko, et al.
15 2009 Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids Living and
16 Learning with New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 17 Ito, Mizuko, and Matteo Bittanti
18 2009 Gaming. *In Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids*
19 *Living and Learning with New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 20 Kafai, Yasmin B.
21 Forthcoming The World of Whyville: An Introduction to Tween Virtual Life.
22 Games and Culture.
- 23 Kafai, Yasmin B., et al., eds.
24 2008 Beyond Barbie and Mortal Kombat: New Perspectives on Gender and
25 Gaming. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 26 Kahne, Joseph, Elaine Middaugh, and Chris Evans
27 2009 The Civic Potential of Video Games. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 28 Kutner, Lawrence, and Cheryl Olson
29 2008 Grand Theft Childhood: The Surprising Truth About Violent Video
30 Games and What Parents Can Do. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- 31 Lareau, Annette
32 2003 Unequal Childhoods; Class, Race, and Family Life. Berkeley, CA:
33 University of California Press.
- 34 Roberts, Donald F., and Ulla G. Foehr
35 2008 Trends in Media Use. *The Future of Children* 18(1):11-37.
- 36 Sefton-Green, Julian
37 2004 Initiation Rites: A Small Boy in a Poké-World. *In Pikachu's Global*
38 *Adventures: The Rise and Fall of Pokémon*. J. Tobin, ed. Pp. 141-164.
39 Durham: Duke University Press.
- 40 Seiter, Ellen
41 2005 The Internet Playground: Children's Access, Entertainment, and Mis-
42 Education. New York: Peter Lang.
- 43 —
44 2007 Practicing at Home: Computers, Pianos, and Cultural Capital. *In Digital*
45 *Youth, Innovation, and the Unexpected*. T. McPherson, ed. Pp. 27-52. The John

1 D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Series on Digital Media and
2 Learning. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
3 Stevens, Reed, Tom Satwicz, and Laurie McCarthy
4 2007 *In-Game, In-Room, In-World: Reconnecting Video Game Play to the*
5 *Rest of Kids' Lives.* *In The Ecology of Games: Connecting Youth, Games, and*
6 *Learning.* K. Salen, ed. Pp. 41-66. John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur
7 Foundation Series on Digital Media and Learning. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
8 Willett, Rebekah
9 2004 *The Multiple Identities of Pokémon Fans.* *In Pikachu's Global*
10 *Adventure: The Rise and Fall of Pokémon.* J. Tobin, ed. Pp. 226-240. Durham:
11 Duke University Press.
12
13