

Professional Development Research, a year later

{ Suzanne M Wilson
University of Connecticut
June 2014

Three puzzles

- Teachers collaborating and actively engaged
- Focused on content and student learning
- Over time
- Coherent and aligned with relevant policies and practices

Puzzle 1: Design features

¶ When tested in large scale, experimental settings, the principles do not always hold and there are not always significant effects on teachers or their students

But . . .

¶ While we are learning some things about how to design formal professional development opportunities, maybe we don't have a handle on the ingredients yet

So . . .

- ¶ We also know that teachers learn in many different contexts, many of which are not designated as professional development and thus much more relevant research
 - ☒ Network research
 - ☒ Preparing for NBPTS certification
 - ☒ Curriculum or assessment development
 - ☒ Comprehensive school reform
 - ☒ Teacher quality research (school leadership, for example)
 - ☒ During the school day

Puzzle 2: Professional learning

- Serendipity vs. intended
- Individual vs. collective
- Volunteer vs. mandated
- Learning what? Experience v. expertise
- Systems/ecological thinking

But . . .

- ¶ A focus on one thing can obscure/distort another
 - ¶ e.g., educator evaluation systems

And . . .

- ¶ We live in an age enamored with data and information
- ¶ “Research” is seen as objective and free from bias
- ¶ “Experience” is seen as subjective and biased

Puzzle 3: Research and experience

- ¶ This is, of course, a fallacy
- ¶ Research is values-driven, ranging from the questions we ask, the methods we choose, the instruments we use
- ¶ Experience is the bedrock of wisdom
- ¶ Teaching is not a science, although it can be informed by science

But . . .

- We are losing out on the opportunity to tap into teachers' wisdom re: professional learning/development
- We are avoiding dealing with the fact that experience can both enlighten and blind

So . . .

So what does all of this mean for the future of policy, practice, and research on professional learning?

¶ Puzzle 1: Design principles

¶ We need more insight into the ingredients

¶ Puzzle 2: Learning is everywhere

¶ We need to more systematically enhance the work of teaching to be educative and study that

¶ Puzzle 3: Research and experience

¶ We need to put experience back into the dialogue, but in ways that do so critically

¶ We need to interrogate the assumptions that are driving research

- ❖ Developing expertise
- ❖ Spreading expertise
- ❖ Helping others learn
- ❖ Informing policy and program development

Teacher leading to what end?