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The Plan of the Talk

► The Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems (SLDS) grant program: a brief overview

► Major issues with SLDSs:
  ► Capacity in the states;
  ► The need for a common data model;
  ► Data quality, validity, fitness for use;
  ► Privacy, confidentiality, and federal access.

► An uncertain future
  ► Private sector supplements/alternatives
The SLDS Program: A Brief Overview
Legislative Background

• Authorized in 2002 by the Education Sciences Reform Act and the Educational Technical Assistance Act

• The grants are cooperative agreements—more active federal government involvement than in typical grants

• Administered by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) of the U.S. Department of Education
Goals of the Program

Enable grantees to design, develop, and implement SLDSs to **efficiently and accurately manage, analyze, disaggregate, report, and use individual student P-20W (early childhood through workforce) data.**

Long-term goals of the program are to:

1. identify what works to improve instruction;
2. ensure grads are equipped for long-term success;
3. simplify reporting and increase transparency;
4. inform decisionmaking at all levels of education;
5. permit creation and use of accurate, timely P-20W data.
Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants include the state education agencies of:

- 50 States
- District of Columbia
- Commonwealth of Puerto Rico
- U.S. Virgin Islands
- American Samoa
- Guam
- Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands
Grant Awards Status

To date, 47 states, DC, PR, VI, and AS have been awarded SLDS grants totaling $721M:

- **1st Round (FY06):** Nov. 2005 – 14 grantees awarded over $52M
- **2nd Round (FY07):** June 2007 – 13 grantees awarded over $62M
- **3rd Round (FY09):** April 2009 – 27 grantees awarded over $150M
- **4th Round (FY09 ARRA):** May 2010 – 20 states awarded $250M under American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA)
- **5th Round (FY12):** May 2012 – 24 grantees awarded over $97M
- **6th Round (FY15):** September 2015 – 16 grantees awarded over $108M
Major Issues in the Success of SLDSs
Issue 1: Capacity in the States

- State procurement issues with grant implementation:
  - Much longer than originally planned to get up to speed
  - Political roadblocks to spending and implementation
  - Lack of high quality 3rd party vendor capacity
- New roles for state education agencies as facilitating organization
- Sustainability at the state level after grant period
- USED response: state support teams, best practice resources, personnel exchange network, virtual expertise exchange, topical workgroups, regional meetings, national conferences.
Issue 2: Common Data Standards

► SLDSs (and other education data systems) have highly fragmented governance → many different data models, even given federal reporting requirements

► Common Education Data Standards initiative built on older NCES efforts to standardize to create a voluntary common vocabulary for education data

► Version 1 released in 2010 (well after grant program commenced) and with only about 160 data elements

► Up to Version 6 (draft) with thousands of elements, spanning P-20W

► Still a fragmented and politicized environment
Issue 3: Validity, Reliability, and Fitness for Use

- Administrative data such as those in an SLDS tend to be broad but shallow (large $n$, small $k$) compared to survey data (with assessments).

- Are the data really fit for their intended uses?
  - Avoiding the “drunkard’s search” problem
  - Measurement error cascades into estimates and misclassification (Schochet and Chiang 2010; Corcoran 2010)
  - Coverage errors of many types
  - Little understanding of basic dimensions of data quality in these systems
Issue 4: Privacy, Confidentiality, and Access

► Always been complicated—now it’s getting interesting

► Federal legislation and regulations—FERPA, COPPA, PPRA etc.
  ► USED created Privacy Technical Assistance Center to help, but states often have little incentive

► Increasing complexity due to patchwork of legislation in the states

► Impetus is to prevent abuses—particularly by for-profit companies—but risk of unintended consequences is high
Looking Ahead
The Future of Education Data

► Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) loosens some requirements around assessment (regulations still pending)
  ► Impact on data systems and their utility yet unknown
► Likely reauthorization and re-regulation of FERPA in coming years as well
► Private sector is uniquely interesting player in education data
  ► National Student Clearinghouse
  ► Private, not-for-profit assessment companies
  ► Growing education technology sector