

The National Academies of
SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

**DECadal SURVEY OF SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS TO
NATIONAL SECURITY**

Board on Behavioral, Cognitive, and Sensory Sciences
Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education

**PUBLIC AGENDA
Committee Meeting #1
March 23, 2017**

**Keck Center, Rooms 105 & 106
500 Fifth Street NW
Washington, DC 20001**

9:15 a.m. Welcome & Study Introductions

- Barbara Wanchisen, Director, Board on Behavioral, Cognitive, and Sensory Sciences
 - Welcome and Brief Introduction to the National Academies
- Sujeeta Bhatt, Study Director, Social and Behavioral Sciences for National Security: A Decadal Survey
 - Open session rules
- Paul Sackett, Committee Chair, University of Minnesota
 - Introduction of Committee Members, Sponsor, Staff, and Attendees
 - Introduction of Sponsor

9:40 a.m. Sponsor Perspective

- David Honey, Director of Science and Technology, Office of the Director of National Intelligence
 - Charge & expectations of the consensus report

10:00 a.m. Reflections on the October 2016 Summit

- Sallie Keller, Biocomplexity Institute, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

10:15 a.m. Nature & Expectations of a Decadal Survey

- Roger D. Blandford, School of Humanities and Sciences, Stanford University

10:45 a.m. Break

11:00 a.m. Framing the Issues: Challenges Facing National Security and Intelligence Analysts

What are some of the challenges faced by analysts within the intelligence and national security communities with respect to utilizing SBS research?

How can this decadal survey best explore the use of social and behavioral science to address the needs of analysts?

- Rita Bush, National Security Agency
- Charles R. Gaukel, National Intelligence Council
- George Gerliczy, Office of Strategic Programs, Central Intelligence Agency
- Nancy Hayden, Sandia National Laboratories

12:00 p.m. **Follow-up Questions & Comments**

- Paul Sackett, Committee Chair, Facilitator

12:15 p.m. Break for Lunch*

*The webcast will pause during lunch and the CREST presentation and resume at the beginning of the SBS Research Panel (1:45 p.m.).

1:15 p.m. **Research for Analysis**

What are some of the challenges faced by analysts within the national security and intelligence communities?

In what ways, can and does research in social and behavioral sciences support the work of analysts?

- Emma Barrett, Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats (CREST)
- Presenter to be confirmed

1:45 p.m. **Research Panel (Webcast resumes)**

How does research in political science and social and political psychology provide insight into issues of national security and/or intelligence analysis?

What are future directions for SBS research?

- Bear Braumoeller, The Ohio State University
- Sarah Croco, University of Maryland
- Michael Desch, University of Notre Dame

2:45 p.m. Break

3:00 p.m. **Research Panel (Continued)**

How does research in political science and social and political psychology provide insight into issues of national security and/or intelligence analysis?

What are future directions of SBS research?

- Ian McCulloh, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Lab
- Leah Windsor, The University of Memphis

4:00 p.m. **Panelist Discussion**

- How can the science provide an interdisciplinary approach to addressing IC challenges?

4:30 p.m. **Meeting Open for Public Comments**

5:00 p.m. **Closing Comments**

- Paul Sackett, Committee Chair

5:15 p.m. **Adjourn Open Session**

CROWD RELEASE: Please be aware that this meeting will be webcast. By entering the meeting room, you consent to your voice and likeness being recorded for use on television and in any media now known or hereafter devised in perpetuity, and you release the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine from any liability due to such usages. If you wish to remain off camera, please sit in the overflow room in Keck 105.

PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE: This meeting is being held to gather information to help the committee conduct its study. This committee will examine the information and material obtained during this, and other public meetings, in an effort to inform its work. Although opinions may be stated and lively discussion may ensue, no conclusions are being drawn at this time; no recommendations will be made. In fact, the committee will deliberate thoroughly before writing its draft report. Moreover, once the draft report is written, it must go through a rigorous review by experts who are anonymous to the committee, and the committee then must respond to this review with appropriate revisions that adequately satisfy the Academies' Report Review Committee and the NAS president before it is considered an official Academies report. Therefore, observers who draw conclusions about the committee's work based on today's discussions will be doing so prematurely.

Furthermore, individual committee members often engage in discussion and questioning for the specific purpose of probing an issue and sharpening an argument. The comments of any given committee member may not necessarily reflect the position he or she may actually hold on the subject under discussion, to say nothing of that person's future position as it may evolve in the course of the project. Any inference about an individual's position regarding findings or recommendations in the final report is therefore also premature.

The Decadal Survey of Social and Behavioral Sciences and Applications to National Security is conducted by the Board on Behavioral, Cognitive, and Sensory Sciences and sponsored by:

Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI)