

Staffing Methodology for VHA Nursing

Beth Taylor, DHA, RN, NEA-BC Acting Chief Nursing Officer, VHA



- 1980's-Early 1990's: Industrial engineering model (time studies)
- 1991: Consultants' Group established Nurse Staffing Guidelines
- 1996: An Expert Panel-Based Methodology
 Implementation Guide Adobe Acrobat
- 2004: OIG issued a Healthcare Inspection Report
 - OIG recommended that VHA "develop and oversee the implementation of a national nurse staffing policy that applies a single staffing methodology to generate consistent facility staffing standards".



- 2007: ONS conducted preliminary review of staffing literature
- July 2007: Incorporated into National Nursing Strategic Goals
- 2008: Systematic literature review by Haddock & Fasoli
- Feb 2008: ONS chartered a Staffing Steering Committee
- July 2010: VHA Directive 2010-034
- September 2011: Full directive implementation
- December 20, 2017 Directive 1351



- 2010 Inpatient & CLC
- 2014 Operating Room
- 2017 Spinal Cord Injury & Disease Process
- 2017 Mental Health Rehabilitation & Recovery Treatment Programs
- 2017 Emergency Department
- 2018 Post Anesthesia Care Unit



- Effective and efficient management of nursing personnel.
- Lower nurse-to-patient staffing ratios are associated with higher rates of adverse events.
- Higher hours of RN care per patient day linked to decreased morbidity and mortality.
- Too much staff can also lead to adverse patient events.



- Nurses comprise the largest clinical subgroup in hospitals, and common cost containment strategy is to reduce professional nurse labor hours and their associated costs.
- This strategy, however, is shortsighted as appropriate nurse staffing levels are essential to optimizing quality of care and patient outcomes.



- The Office of Nursing Services (ONS)has led the effort to develop and implement a staffing methodology for nursing personnel that will standardize the approach to staffing decisions.
- Staffing Methodology for VA Nursing Personnel utilizes
 - Specific Nursing Sensitive Indicators
 - Data-driven Assessment Metrics
 - Expert-panel Review



- PACT- Model
- Nursing staff vs Team approach productivity model (Beginning Phases in conjunction with ICEP initiative (Improving Capacity, Efficiency and Productivity in VA))
- Procedure areas such as Cath Lab, Chemo Therapy



- Is a budgeting and forecasting tool to approximate adequate FTEE and skill mix.
- Requires a unit based patient focused, data driven approach (not one size fits all): ANA supports this method.
- Requires unit analysis to determine recommendation to determine staffing needs



- Ratios are a crude and insufficient approximation of nursing time.
- No true benchmarks for nurse staffing.
- Multiple variables that influence the adequacy of any staffing number.
- Variables differ between units within the same organization.
- Accurate comparisons across facilities is difficult.



- Patient Population: frequency, intensity and duration of care requirements.
- Nursing Staff: Novice/expert. students/trainees. Skill mix.
- Support Staff: RT, Transport, Housekeeping, MSA, ECG, Lab, Providers.
- Physical Plant: Efficiency/inefficiency considerations.



- Is a document providing recommendations initiated by facility expert panels representing respective clinical sections and approved by ADPCS/CNE
- The staffing plan is presented to the ADPCS/CNE to the facility/health care system director and executive leadership team



An advisory group comprised of individuals with in-depth knowledge of evidence-based factors impacting staffing needs at the point of care

The panel is best-suited to make judgments to deliver recommendations regarding staffing levels and overseeing outcome analysis and modifications to staffing recommendations



- Unit Expert Panel: Involves staff at the point of service in evaluation of work practices
- Evaluates unit specific indicators
- Facility Expert Panel: Reviews unit recommendations for system impact
- Seeks clarification or moves recommendation to senior leadership
- Flexible, not prescriptive
- Connected to desired patient outcomes that are driven by evidenced-based practice



- Establishing acceptable NHPPD ranges
- Review staffing plan annually; execution daily
- Ensure full expert panel process is conducted at least biennially
- Provide concurrence/non-concurrence with the facility panels recommendations
- Provide feedback to the unit and facility panel regarding the approved plan
- Assure safe effective patient care



- Holds primary responsibility for national policy
- Development and review of NHPPD ranges
- Develop staffing models for all areas of practice
- Evaluate the effectiveness
- Provides expert consultation services



- Unit Based Panel Member Selected
- Calculator forecasting information required for each area to include determining appropriate Nursing Hours Per Patient Day (NHPPD)
 - Excluding the Emergency Department which uses admissions, discharge and length of stay data
- Tools developed to assist in this process:
 - Convertor Tool
 - Replacement Factor
 - Skill Mix
 - Average Daily Census or Planned Census
 - Payroll data FTEE cost per discipline
 - Programmatic assessment what will change in the next FY?



- Hours of operation
- Number of bed/bays/chairs/rooms in operation/day.
- Nursing requirements (dose) per type of procedure.
- Prep and recovery time.
- Consideration of variables which impact efficiency of operations – provider schedules.



REPLACEMENT FACTOR TOOL

INPATIENT REPLACEMENT FACTOR				
Enter unit here				
	RN Staff	GS Staff		
FTEE hours	2080	2087		
AL	208	104		
SL	50	70		
Holiday	40	40		
Education	40	40		
Systems Improvement *	20	20		
Other **	20	20		
Other		0		
Other	0	0		
Other	0	0		
	378	294		
Replacement FTEE	1.22	1.16		
Staffmix	56%	44%		
	0.68	0.51		
Weighted replacement	1.20			
Replacement factor	1.20			



SUMMARY STAFFING ANALYSIS

INPATIENT	Enter unit here
Replacement Factor (from prev sheet)	1.2
Planned Census	35
Total Nursing Hours per patient day (HPPD)	8.2
RN HPPD	4.59
NURSING HRS/DAY (RN)	160.72
RN %	56%
LPN %	24%
NA %	20%

CURRENT BUDGETED FTEE CE	LING
Existing RN Ceiling	38.0
Indirect Care RNs: (list here)	2.0
RN Supervisor (Indirect Care)	1.0
Existing LPN Ceiling	13.0
Indirect Care LPNs: (list here)	
Existing NA Ceiling	5.0
Indirect Care NAs: (sitters, monitor tech)	

DIRECT FTEE REQUIREMENTS	3
RN	33.8
LPN	14.5
NA	12.1

NEW INDIRECT FTEE REQUIREMENTS		
(Provide description in narrative justification)		
RN		
LPN	(
NA		

FTEE VARIANCE	Enter unit here
RN Variance	(0.8)
LPN Variance	(1.5)
NA Variance	(10.1)
TOTAL Variance	(12.4)

CURRENT AVERAGE	AVERAGE RN COST (Salary + Benefits)	s	80,000
TOTAL COSTS	AVERAGE LPN COST (Salary + Benefits)	S	60,000
	AVERAGE NA COST (Salary + Benefits)	s	50,000
	RN WORK UNIT COST	\$	3,280,000
CURRENT ANNUAL WORK	LPN WORK UNIT COST	\$	780,000
UNIT COSTS	NA WORK UNIT COST	\$	250,000
	TOTAL WORK UNIT COST	\$	4,310,000
PROJECTED RN WORK UNIT COST		\$	3,347,513.85
PROJECTED ANNUAL	PROJECTED LPN WORK UNIT COST	s	870,272.31
WORK UNIT COSTS	PROJECTED NA WORK UNIT COST	s	754,355.77
	TOTAL PROJECTED WORKUNIT COST	s	4,972,142
COST VARIANCE RN		(67,513.85)	
VARIANCE PROJECTED - COST VARIANCE LPN		(90272.31)	
COSTS			(504,355.77)
	TOTAL COST VARIANCE		(662,141.92)



Outpatient Chemotherapy Unit

Configuration

Chair	Room Description	Hours of Operati on per day	Days per Week	Staffed Hours per Week	Staff per chair	Workin g Hours per Week
1	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	0.25	11
2	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	0.25	11
3	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	0.25	11
4	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	0.25	11
5	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	0.25	11
6	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
7	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
8	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
9	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
10	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
11	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
12	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
13	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
14	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	0.25	13.75
15	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	1	44
16	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	1	44
17	Chemo Chair	11	4	44	1	44
18	Chemo Chair	11	5	55	1	55
						365.75



Cath Lab Configuration

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
		Hours of	Days per	Staffed	RN	GS	Working
	Room Description	Operation	Week	Hours	Staff per	Staff per	Hours per
1		per day	Week	per Week	room	room	Week
2	107 - complexity level	8	5	40	1	2	120
3	Gen Lab - Heavy (Mon and Wed)	10	2	20	2	1	60
4	Gen Lab - Light (Tues and Thurs)	10	2	20	2	1	60
5	Gen Lab - EP (Friday)	10	1	10	2	1	30
6	EP Lab (Monday)	10	1	10	2	1	30
7	EP Lab (Wednesday)	11	1	11	3	1	44
8	EP Lab - Shared (-T-Th-)	10	3	30	2	1	90
9	Administrative Task (Billing, Scans, etc.)	1	5	5	4	2	30
10				0			0
11					17	8	344
12				Skill Mix	68%	32%	



Emergency Department

Navigation Menu 1. Replacement **Factor Calculator** 2. FTEE Calculator 3. Total FTEE & Costs 4. Print Out Legend **Yellow Cells to Enter** Data **Orange Cells linked** from Other Cell Grey Cells are **Calculated Fields**

Green Text means Over Staffed

NA/HT

Total Direct FTEEs

Red Text means Under Staffed

Direct Care ED Direct Care Data Source Value **RN Replacement Factor** 1.20 **GS** Replacement Factor 1.20 0 ED Count for 12 Months 0 Admissions Count for 12 Months EMMT 0 Discharges Count for 12 Months Report Link Median LOS for Admissions in Minutes 0 0 Median LOS for Discharges in Minutes **Total Nursing Hours** 0.00 Skill Mix Value RN % 0% LPN % 0% ICT % 0% NA/HT % 0% Total % 0% Current Budgeted Direct Care FTEE Ceiling Value Source Existing RN Ceiling 0.00 Existing LPN Ceiling 0.00 Org Chart Existing ICT Ceiling 0.00 Existing NA/HT Ceiling 0.00 **Total Current Budgeted FTEEs** 0.00 **Projected Direct FTEE Requirements** Value Variance RN 0.00 0.00 Quick Look RN 0.00 LPN 0.00 0.00 ICT 0.00 0.00



Microsoft Excel ro-Embled Works 0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Indirect Care				
Current Budgeted Indirect Care FTEE Ceiling	Source	Value		
RN Nurse Manager		0.00		
Indirect Care RN Ceiling		0.00		
Indirect Care LPN Ceiling	Org Chart	0.00		
Indirect Care ICT Ceiling		0.00		
Indirect Care NA/HT Ceiling		0.00		
Total Current Budgeted FTEEs		0.00		
Projected Indirect FTEE Requirements	Value	Variance		
RN Nurse Manager	0.00	0.00		
RN	0.00	0.00		
LPN	0.00	0.00		
ICT	0.00	0.00		
NA/HT	0.00	0.00		
Total Indirect FTEEs	0.00	0.00		

Stand-Alone (Not Considered in Calculator)

Multi-use Indirect Care FTEE Calculator		
Type of Indirect Care Use	Sit	ter
Avg. Number of Patients	0	
Avg. Indirect Hrs/Day/Patient	0	
Operational Days/Week	0	
Hours/Week	0	
Standard Work Week	40	
FTEEs	0	
Replacement Factor	1.20	
Recommended FTEEs	0	
Quick Look Calculator	W/ RF	
Name of Quick Look	Ouicl	clook

Quick Look Calculator	W/ RF	
Name of Quick Look	Quick Look	
# Hours Staffed Per Day		
Days Per Week		
FTEEs	0.00	
Replacement Factor	1.20	
Recommended Total FTEEs	0.00	



- Provides sites that do not have previously established Nursing Hours Per Patient Day (NHPPD) a frame of reference as accurate NHPPD ranges are needed across the system for comparative analysis within VHA.
- LMI (Labor Management Institute) and NDNQI (National Database of Nursing Quality Indicators) data are also used for comparative analysis with community standards outside the VHA.
- MCAO provides comparison tools using labor mapping associated with like units.



- Maximize Technology
- VHA Resource Management System
- Real time utilization
- Virtual sitter surveillance
- Productivity staffing Models
- Interdisciplinary Team Models of Care



- Evaluation of method efficacy.
- Strengthen the consistency of meeting NHPPD
- Improve Reliability of method
- Refine considerations for physical plant and support staff variables.
- Use expert panel process for units without validated tools (specialty clinics, Endo/Cath Lab, emerging roles i.e. navigators, Chemo, Dialysis, interdisciplinary teams)
- Explore creative deployment strategies.



REFERENCES

- Adomat, R., & Hewison, A. (2004). Assessing patient category/dependence systems for determining the nurse/patient ratio in ICU and HDU: a review of approaches. *Journal Of Nursing Management*, *12*(5), 299-308.
- Aiken, L., Cimiotti, J., Sloane, D., Smith, H., Flynn, L., & Neff, D. (2011). Effects of nurse staffing and nurse education on patient deaths in hospitals with different nurse work environments. *Medical Care*, *49*(12), 1047-1053.
- Annis, A., Robinson, C., Yankey, N., Krein, S., Duffy, S., Taylor, B., Sales, A. (2017). Factors associated with the implementation of a nurse staffing directive. Journal of Nursing Administration. 47(12), 636-644.
- Brisley, T., Hargraves, M., Long, C., Morton, C., Poulton, G., Rogers, M., & ... Yates, P. (2003). Nurse staffing levels in outpatient chemotherapy settings: a review of the literature. *Australian Journal Of Cancer Nursing*, *4*(2), 11-14.
- Checkley, Martin, Brown, Chang, Dabbagh, Fremont, & Sevransky, (2014). Structure, process, and annual ICU mortality across 69 centers: United States critical illness and injury trials group critical illness outcomes study. *Critical Care Medicine*, *42*(2), 344-356.
- Cho, Hwang, & Kim, (2008). Nurse staffing and patient mortality in intensive care units. *Nursing Research*, *57*(5), 322-330.
- Diya, Van den Heede, Sermeus, & Lesaffre, (2012). The relationship between in-hospital mortality, readmission into the intensive care nursing unit and/or operating theatre and nurse staffing levels. *Journal Of Advanced Nursing*, *68*(5), 1073-1081.
- Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration (2010). Staffing methodology for VHA nursing personnel. VHA Directive 2010-034.
- Douglas, K. (2010). Ratios if it were only that easy. *Nursing Economic\$*, 28(2), 119-125.



REFERENCES

- Duffin, C. (2014). Increase in nurse numbers linked to better patient survival rates in ICU. *Nursing Standard*, *28*(33), 10.
- Everhart, D., Schumacher, J. R., Duncan, R. P., Hall, A. G., Neff, D. F., & Shorr, R. I. (2014). Determinants of hospital fall rate trajectory groups: A longitudinal assessment of nurse staffing and organizational characteristics. *Health Care Management Review*, *39*(4), 352-360.
- Frith, K. H., Anderson, E. F., Fan, T., & Fong, E. A. (2012). Nurse Staffing Is an Important Strategy to Prevent Medication Errors in Community Hospitals. *Nursing Economic\$*, *30*(5), 288-294.
- Kelly, Kutney-Lee, McHugh, Sloane, & Aiken, (2014). Impact of critical care nursing on 30-day mortality of mechanically ventilated older adults*. *Critical Care Medicine*, *42*(5), 1089-1095.
- Kiekkas, Sakellaropoulos, Brokalaki, Manolis, Samios, Skartsani, and Baltopoulos, (2008). Association between nursing workload and mortality of intensive care unit patients. *Journal Of Nursing Scholarship*, *40*(4), 385-390.
- Labor Management Institute. 2013 Annual Survey of Hours.
- Lake, E., Shang, J., Klaus, S., & Dunton, N. (2010). Patient falls: association with hospital magnet status and nursing unit staffing. *Research In Nursing & Health*, *33*(5), 413-425
- Robinson, C., Annis, A., Forman, J., Krein, S., Yankey, N., Duffy, S., Taylor, B., and Sales, A. (2016). Factors that affect implementation of a nurse staffing directive: results from a qualitative multi-case evaluation. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 72(8) 1886-1898. doi: 10-1111/jan.12961.
- Taylor, B., Yankey, N., Robinson, C., Annis, A., Haddock, K., Alt-White, A., Krein, S., & Sales, A. (2015). Evaluating the Veterans Health Administration's staffing methodology: a reliable approach. Nursing Economic\$. 33(1), 36-40.