

The National Academies of
SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

STRENGTHENING U.S. AIR FORCE HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT
BOARD ON HUMAN-SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

Virtual Meeting Agenda
July 19, 2019
Open Session: 3:00-5:00 PM EDT

Meeting Location for In-Person Attendees:

Keck Center of the National Academies (Room 206)
500 5th St, NW, Washington, DC 20001

2:00 – 3:00 CLOSED SESSION: Committee Members & Staff Only

Invited guests to the open session are kindly requested to refrain from entering the meeting room or connecting to the meeting virtually prior to the start of the open session at 3:00 PM EDT.

OPEN SESSION

- 3:00 WELCOME & VIRTUAL MEETING GROUND RULES**
- Julie Ryan, Committee Co-Chair
 - William (Bill) Strickland, Committee Co-Chair
 - Cherie Chauvin, Study Director
- 3:05 WELCOME FROM THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ENGINEERING, & MEDICINE**
- Mary Ellen O’Connell, Executive Director, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, The National Academies
- 3:20 SPONSOR’S PERSPECTIVE**
- Ken Schwartz, Chief, Air Force Testing & Survey Policy, Program Manager, Air Force Personnel Research (AF/A1PT)
- 3:50 DISCUSSION OF STUDY MOTIVATION, FOCUS, & OBJECTIVES**
- Committee members are invited to ask questions and engage the study sponsor in further discussion to better understand the study from his perspective*
- 4:55 MEETING WRAP-UP**
- Julie Ryan, Committee Co-Chair
 - Bill Strickland, Committee Co-Chair
 - Cherie Chauvin, Study Director
- 5:00 ADJOURN**

NOTICE FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS

This meeting is being held to gather information to help the committee conduct its study. This committee will examine the information and material obtained during this, and other public meetings, in an effort to inform its work. Although opinions may be stated and lively discussion may ensue, no conclusions are being drawn at this time; no recommendations will be made. In fact, the committee will deliberate thoroughly before writing its draft report. Moreover, once the draft report is written, it must go through a rigorous review by experts who are anonymous to the committee, and the committee then must respond to this review with appropriate revisions that adequately satisfy the Academies' Report Review Committee and the NAS president before it is considered an official Academies report. Therefore, observers who draw conclusions about the committee's work based on today's discussions will be doing so prematurely.

Furthermore, individual committee members often engage in discussion and questioning for the specific purpose of probing an issue and sharpening an argument. The comments of any given committee member may not necessarily reflect the position he or she may actually hold on the subject under discussion, to say nothing of that person's future position as it may evolve in the course of the project. Any inference about an individual's position regarding findings or recommendations in the final report is therefore also premature.