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State Produced Estimates

Nevada is one example of a state that produces its own population estimates over the decade. The data is in the public domain and various users include: State agencies, Local governments and agencies, and non-profits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of States Producing Estimates For:</th>
<th>Geographic Level States Produce Data For:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age - Single year</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age - 5 year age group</td>
<td>Incorporated Places:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age - other age group</td>
<td>Unincorporated Places (Towns or Census designated places that are not federally recognized as general purpose governments.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>County Subdivisions (MCDs townships, and Census county subdivisions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race - 2010 Census categories</td>
<td>School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race - other grouping</td>
<td>Census Tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal State Cooperative for Population Estimates Member Survey 2015
Nevada’s Estimates and Projections

Program has been in place since 1987 and began as part of allocating revenue among cities.

- Revenue distributed in FY 19 - $79 million among 82 Government Units
- Governed by state law and administrative code.

Has evolved to respond to data needs over the years and now produces three main products annually:

- Population estimates for counties, cities, and unincorporated towns and judicial township. NRS 360.283
- Total population projections NRS 360.289
- Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic origin (ASRHO) estimates and projections by individual year of ASRHO. NRS 360.289

Examples of Known Uses:

- Vital rate calculations
- Budget cap calculations
The role of Census and local data for the estimates

At the county level the estimate is an average of a housing unit-based estimate and a regression-based estimate

- Local assessor’s data provides annual housing unit counts

- The Decennial Census provides data used over the course of the decade:
  - A baseline set of housing counts
  - Occupancy
  - Persons per household
- The Census Bureau’s population estimates are considered when running models to find the best regression estimate

Both data sets have some degree of error:
- Local governments with staffing levels, skill sets, and definitional issues
- The Census with geocoding, non-response and imputation
The Impact of Differential Privacy on the Estimates

The occupancy rate data in the Demonstration Products is creating persons per household levels that are less than one. 50,000 seems to be the breakpoint and nationally more than 2,100 counties have less than 50,000 in population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Housing Counts</th>
<th>2010 Census Published Counts</th>
<th>Differential Privacy Occupied</th>
<th>Less Published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elko</td>
<td>18,400</td>
<td>19,566</td>
<td>1,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>1,949</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washoe</td>
<td>178,238</td>
<td>184,841</td>
<td>6,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Impact of State Code and Statutes

In Nevada, local governments can appeal their estimate. For the City of Elko, their most recent appeal was over 200 persons or 1% of their population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Housing Units</th>
<th>SF1</th>
<th>Demosration Product</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlin</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko</td>
<td>7,221</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Wendover</td>
<td>1,504</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data will be coming out in the first quarter of 2021 –

- At best, there may need to be revisions to Nevada Administrative Code to account for DP and any “margins of error” or other information around interpreting it.
- At worst, there may be a need to review state law and Nevada’s legislature meets every two years.

The changes in occupancy due to differential privacy will have a material impact on revenue distribution.
The Distribution of Households and Their Characteristics

Two use cases to frame these anomalies:

The spatial distribution of various populations groups matters for the efficient and effective distribution of limited public services and resources.

In the early 1990’s the Clark County Fire Department wanted to leaflet and reach out to apartment complexes to educate non-English speakers to prevent child drowning. I provided them long form results at either the tract or block group level.

Now, senior advocates are looking at social isolation as an indicator for identifying and providing services to our home bound and low-income senior population.
Percentage of Married Couples with Children for a Random Sample (20/687 Tracts) of Nevada Census Tracts

- SF1 Percent of Households Married with Children
- Demonstration Percent of Households Married with Children
- SF1 Less Demonstration Product
Percentage of Householders 65 and Over Living Alone for a Random Sample (20/687 Tracts) of Nevada Census Tracts

- SF1 Percent of Households Over 65 Living Alone
- Percent of Households Over 65 Living Alone
- SF1 Less Demonstration Product
Observations

It appears that for the most part, the PCT tables which were traditionally provided data at the tract level and higher were eliminated with no middle ground.

One specific set of products that is missing is detailed age x sex x race x ethnicity at all geographic levels.

- 10 states produce these estimates annually
- The data is needed at the county level

This will be opening up changes in state law or code without a story or vocabulary that makes it readily explainable to elected officials. Local policy makers do not deal in inference. They deal with their perceptions.
Trade-offs and questions

The Big One: What is the impact on residence rules and reviewing the Census results? People move!

1. How does detailed geographic or cross tabulation of characteristics information impact the privacy budget?
   1. Review the numerous race categories.
   2. Review off spine geographies for statutory or local codes.

2. How much of this is a big data (digital) problem? How much of the privacy budget might be increased by making some small and rare tables at the block level or higher as paper products?
Questions?

Jeff Hardcastle,
Nevada State Demographer
Nevada Department of Taxation
Reno Office: 4600 Kietzke Lane, Building L Suite 235 Reno, NV 89502
(Direct) (775) 687-9961
jhardcastle@tax.state.nv.us