

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Aeronautics and Space Engineering Board

500 Fifth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
www.nationalacademies.org

**Aeronautics Research and Technology Roundtable
Meeting 4
June 18, 2013
NAS Keck Building Room 100
500 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001**

PRELIMINARY AGENDA

Tuesday, June 18, 2013

OPEN SESSION

- 8:00 am Rooms opens (breakfast available in the room)
- 8:30 am Meeting convenes; opening remarks John Tracy, *Chair*
- 8:45 am NASA's New Aeronautics Research Strategy Jaiwon Shin, Associate Administrator
Bob Pearce, ARMD
- 10:00 am **Break (15 minutes)**
- 12:00 pm **Working lunch; discussion continues**
- 12:45 pm Autonomy Research Needs for Civil Aviation: NASA
High Benefit Applications and Key
Technical Challenges
- 1:00 pm Discussion
- 3:00 pm **Break (15 minutes)**
- 3:15 pm Ideas for New Convergent Approaches to Aeronautics Research: NASA
Industry Experience
- 3:30 pm Discussion
- 5:30 pm **Meeting suspends**
- 6:00 pm **Meeting re-starts at new location**
Working Dinner will be held at:
2100 Prime which is located inside of the
Fairfax at Embassy Row Hotel

The following information is provided for any members of the general public who may be in attendance:

This meeting is being held to gather information to help the committee conduct its study. This committee will examine the information and material obtained during this, and other public meetings, in an effort to inform its work. Although opinions may be stated and lively discussion may ensue, no conclusions are being drawn at this time and no recommendations will be made. In fact, the committee will deliberate thoroughly before writing its draft report. Moreover, once the draft report is written, it must go through a rigorous review by experts who are anonymous to the committee, and the committee then must respond to this review with appropriate revisions that adequately satisfy the Academy's Report Review committee and the chair of the NRC before it is considered an NRC report. Therefore, observers who draw conclusions about the committee's work based on today's discussions will be doing so prematurely.

Furthermore, individual committee members often engage in discussion and questioning for the specific purpose of probing an issue and sharpening an argument. The comments of any given committee member may not necessarily reflect the position he or she may actually hold on the subject under discussion, to say nothing of that person's future position as it may evolve in the course of the project. Any inference about an individual's position regarding findings or recommendations in the final report are therefore also premature.