GUIRR’s accomplishments can be attributed in large part to the participation and contribution of the federal R&D agencies. Core funding enables GUIRR to quickly take on projects of its members’ choosing rather than waiting for a specific contract or grant to cover an activity. In many cases, this funding marks the difference between timely resolution of pressing issues vs. a post-mortem analysis of what might have been done.

The federal agencies that provided core support during 2005 were:
- Department of Defense
- Department of Homeland Security
- National Institutes of Health
- National Science Foundation (support for FDP only)
- U.S. Department of Agriculture

The University-Industry Partners Program is an important component of both GUIRR membership and its funding base. These institutional members ground GUIRR’s policy discussions with their first-hand factual experience. As geographically dispersed leaders in their sectors, the UI partners also serve as GUIRR’s antennae for new trends in, and pressures on, the national research enterprise.

The partnerships that provided support during 2005 were:
- Georgia Institute of Technology/Boeing
- Iowa State University/Cargill
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology/Northrop Grumman
- Pennsylvania State University/Corning
- University of California, Los Angeles/Howard-Forbush Company
- University of California, San Diego/Qualcomm
- University of Texas at Austin/Continuum Research Corporation
- Partner in transboundary Boreal Pacific Freshwater National Laboratory

In addition, project-specific support in 2005 was provided by the Kauffman Foundation, which contributed towards the University-Industry Partnership Re-Engineering Intellectual Property Negotiation Project and, through a direct grant to Georgia Institute of Technology, supported the study on corporate R&D siting decisions (“Here or There” project).
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guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Office of Science and Technology Policy, the National Research Council, the National Academy of Sciences, and the National Academy of Engineering. The working group concludes its work in early 2006.

The working group will conclude its work in Spring 2006 and be superseded by a follow-on implementation plan. A working group of 10 GUIRR members and 7 federal collaborators (including representatives from Commerce, Defense, and possibly Energy or Transportation) sought to address these issues and develop a workable resolution.

A Deemed Exports working group of 10 GUIRR members and 7 federal collaborators (including representatives from Commerce, Defense, and possibly Energy or Transportation) sought to address these issues and develop a workable resolution.

DEEMED EXPORTS

In a March 2004 Commerce Inspector General's Report (IGE-108), the Inspector General stated that the option universities were not exempt from adhering to deemed export controls, in contrast to previous interpretations of the "fundamental research exemption." The IG has recommended that improving these new interpretations would not prohibit foreign nationals from working on deemed export controlled projects.

The survey and analysis were conducted with the support of the American Council on Education and Jerry Thursby. The final survey report will be issued by the National Academies Press in 2006. (pre-publication results at http://newton.nap.edu/catalog/11675.html).

GUIRR is addressing a proposed Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) clause mandating the use of the web-based audit clearinghouse, rather than individual institution investigations.

A 7,000 person survey of faculty administrative burden. The software will take into account the use of the web-based audit clearinghouse, rather than individual institution investigations.

In the course of GUIRR negotiations and more internal discussions, individual members of GUIRR raised new national issues for consideration by GUIRR. One example is the issue of ‘data overload’ – the pressing need to more effectively assimilate and make sense of the vast amount of data that fuels today’s world.

In the course of GUIRR negotiations and more internal discussions, individual members of GUIRR raised new national issues for consideration by GUIRR. One example is the issue of ‘data overload’ – the pressing need to more effectively assimilate and make sense of the vast amount of data that fuels today’s world.


Some of FDP’s accomplishments in 2005 are:

■ A 7,000 person survey of faculty administrative burden.

■ Increased mentoring of emerging research institutions (ERI’s) in FDP.

■ C Clipart approved for certifying substitute audits through use of the web-based audit clearinghouse, rather than individual institution investigations.
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