

Federal Demonstration Partnership  
Standing Committee on Terms and Conditions  
Minutes  
May 1, 2003  
The National Academies  
Washington, DC

**CONTINUING BUSINESS**

1. NIH Agency-Specific Requirements—Review proposed changes: NIH Agency-Specific Requirements May 2003

**Outcome:** Based on the discussion, NIH agreed to revise some of the material, and to make final recommendations of how to reflect the extension of FDP terms and conditions to additional funding instruments in the “Prior Approval Matrix.” The proposed revisions are to be submitted to the Terms and Conditions Committee membership prior to the September meeting.

2. NASA Agency-Specific Requirements—Review proposed changes: NASA Agency-Specific Requirements May 2003

**Outcome:** NASA is publishing new regulations in its Handbook, and plans to incorporate them into their Agency-Specific Requirements in approximately October.

3. Prior Approval Matrix Updates

**Outcome:** NIH has the preponderance of updates, and these are expected to be finalized following the September meeting. Other agencies need to review the matrix to assure that their terms and conditions are reflected accurately.

4. Acknowledgement/Disclaimer Provision in the General Terms and Conditions

Attachment 3 – January 2003 agenda with background information on these items

**Outcome:** The Terms and Conditions Committee is seeking the advice of the FDP Faculty Standing Committee

**NEW BUSINESS**

5. National Security Clause for Statutory Requirement Matrix

The 1997 General Terms and Conditions had a clause based on E. O. 12356, which has since been replaced by E.O. 13292, with essentially the same provisions for classified information arising in the course of basic scientific research. This provision will be added to Appendix B to the FDP Operating Procedures.

6. No-Fund Extension

For awards that continue through many competitive renewals, are institutions permitted to elect a single no-cost extension over the life of the award, or can they elect one at the end of every competing period?

Article 25(c)(2) reads: Initiate a one-time extension of the expiration date of the award of up to 12 months unless one or more of the following conditions applies [ ]:

The agency representatives present confirmed that “life of the award” refers to a competitive segment.