

Executive Summary ~ This Summary Report contains a preview of the detailed statistical analysis of the results to the survey titled *FDP Survey - Delegation of ARRA Reporting Responsibilities*. The results analysis summarizes answers from all respondents who took the survey in the 15 day period from Friday, August 21, 2009 to Friday, September 04, 2009. 125 completed responses were received to the survey during this time.

Do you plan to delegate ARRA reporting responsibilities to your subrecipients?

More than 66% of respondents do not plan to delegate reporting. Those who do plan to delegate to some recipients (about 16%) are delegating to A-133 schools, which are aware of the reporting requirements. Some institutions are going to report first quarter and then review their decision to see if they will continue as originated or delegate.

| Reasons to <i>NOT</i> delegate | Reasons <i>TO</i> delegate |
|---|---|
| Ensure quality control | Ease of collection because the subs are aware of the requirements |
| Retain responsibility for information as prime | Lessen the burden on primes to report |
| Relieve the need to verify on line reporting of subrecipients | |
| Delegating portions of the information seemed to lead to confusion and fragmented reporting | |
| To ensure comprehensive reporting | |
| Some states have requirements that prevent them from allowing subcontractors to report | |

Tools you plan to use in relation to subrecipient reporting?

There are a wide variety of tools that institutions are using. Some include consulting firms, questionnaires developed at the institution, web-based tools, form-fillable PDFs, slightly revised version of the standard FDP template ([Click here, then select Data Collection Tool](#)), and versions similar to the UCSC template as well as the UCSC template.

If delegating, how do you plan to review data and perform quality control?

| Method to Perform Quality Control | Percentage |
|---|------------|
| Ensure that 100% of my ARRA subrecipients have uploaded their data | 29.40% |
| Compare the data they reported with recent invoice data to look for obvious inconsistencies | 24.70% |
| Compare jobs created/retained to their approved budget | 15.30% |
| Compare jobs created/retained to their subaward statement of work | 14.10% |
| Other methods | 7.10% |

If you are not delegating, when does a subrecipient need to report to your institution for the initial 10.10.09 deadline?

| Deadline on or before | Percentage applying date |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 10/5/2009 | 44.00% |
| 10/1/2009 | 20.90% |
| 9/22/2009 | 16.40% |
| 9/15/2009 | 10.40% |
| 8/31/2009 | 10.40% |

We encourage you to respond to subsequent surveys, with one covering primary recipient reporting (jobs created/retained and reporting period end date) planned for release the week of 9/14. More comprehensive survey results will be made available on the FDP ARRA web page once a final review of the survey is complete. Questions? Please email duncanjenn@missouri.edu.