

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
Consider the long term vulnerabilities created by short term mitigation decisions (in conducting cost/benefit analysis)	<p>Public/private partnership to incentivize risk reduction</p> <p>Incentivize individual mitigation efforts at the local level</p> <p>Incentivize data sharing between private and public partners</p>	<p>Communicate the severity of the event in addition to the likelihood</p> <p>Interface with real estate community to deal with flood risk</p> <p>Help residents to understand their responsibility/requirements after experience damage</p>	<p>Implement independent bldg. code inspection &amp; govt. projects</p> <p>Green infrastructure projects for flooding mitigation to produce revenue</p> <p>Local govt. develop diversified risk finance portfolio</p> <p>Incentivize insuring against disasters with tax</p>

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
<p>Promote the North Carolina GIS model aggressively and widely (publicly accessible)</p> <p>Modernize the NFIP risk assessment</p> <p>Reduce the gap between loss modeling and policy/private sector decision making – need system level analysis</p>	x	<p>Communicate the uncertainty over time associated with standards and risk measures</p> <p>Visuals - Google street view of what a 100 year flood looks like for their property</p>	<p>Long term loans and grants to incentivize action</p> <p>Govt. as a reinsurer of last resort</p> <p>Find ways to link insurance and retrofits for managing risks of existing buildings</p>

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
<p>Build behavior of property owners into cat modeling (including agent based models)</p> <p>True quantification of the loss with the uncertainties</p> <p>Find strategies to quantify indirect and direct losses</p> <p>Industry needs NFIP loss data that they don't have</p>	<p>Broader and more active participation of communities in CRS</p>	<p>Information from large firms to small businesses</p> <p>Understanding of Congress of the NFIP and risk – role of public/private partnerships</p> <p>Risk education part of the national curriculum</p>	<p>Congress think beyond the next re-election (Nimtof)</p> <p>Leverage assessment of NFIP cat modeling to help Congress understand the value of modeling tools</p> <p>Policies that promote long term societal benefit of true cost of risk</p>

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
<p>Provide location of insured properties</p> <p>Collect and organize data relevant to community infrastructure exposure to promote resiliency</p> <p>Double blind experiments – who pays for flood risk and loss (remove the politics from the discussion, just facts)</p>	x	Educate about flood preparation for disasters	<p>Over time, place more financial responsibility at the state level for properties with repetitive losses – more skin in the game</p> <p>Expand mandatory purchase tied to the property</p>

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
<p>Continued development of cat models and standard measure for flood risk</p> <p>Publicize and provide info to public – estimates of the impacts (e.g. evaluations and cat models, include PML's)</p> <p>Make inputs into the risk evaluation models more transparent</p>	x	<p>Standardize reports of risk to develop mitigation measures and costs – actions that can be taken</p> <p>General education and/or PSA's—raise public awareness (Have available America's Prepareathon and ready.gov)</p> <p>Better transparency of subsidies and processes in the NFIP</p>	<p>What has worked, what hasn't in communities' (e.g. state tax incentives, grant programs, savings - incentives to mitigate)</p> <p>Climate change mitigation – price on carbon</p> <p>Assure that accounting credit be given for natural capital (ecosystem services)</p>

Science, data and assessment	Stakeholders & Institutional Incentives	Risk communication/ public understanding	Policy choices (short/long)
<p>Comprehensive evaluation of costs associated with flooding</p> <p>Piloting community based insurance efforts</p> <p>Experiment with new (alternative) structures for insurance at a single place over time</p> <p>Learn from other countries</p> <p>Lessons from other states e.g. with hurricane measures – Florida, South Carolina, and Texas</p>	<p>Connecting recovery supplemental funding to mitigation/pre-disaster efforts</p>		