



Board on International Scientific Organizations

U.S. National Committee on Theoretical and Applied Mechanics

In-Process Sensing of Laser Powder Bed Fusion Additive Manufacturing

S. M. Kelly, P.C. Boulware, L. Cronley, G. Firestone, M. Jamshidinia, J. Marchal, T. Stempky, and C. Reichert

Presenter: Yu-Ping Yang

A Workshop on Predictive Theoretical and Computational Approaches for Additive Manufacturing Keck Center, Room K-100

500 Fifth St. NW Washington, DC



Acknowledgement: In Process Monitoring Team



John Zeigert Angela Davies

EV/I.

We Manufacture Innovation

Shawn Kelly, Pl Mahdi Jamshidinia (AM) Jake Marchal (AM) Paul Boulware (Sensors) Connie Reichert (Sensors) Greg Firestone (Sensors) Lance Cronley (Design) Kyle Zhang Will Land Georgia The George W. Woodruff School Tech of Mechanical Engineering

> Jaydeep Karandikar Masouhmeh Aminzadeh Thomas Kurfess



Paramount Industries, Inc. A 3D Systems Company

Jim Williams













Outline

- Why in-process sensing of Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF) additive manufacturing is important
- How to develop in-process sensing technology
- Application of in-process sensing to monitor L-PBF
- How in-process sensing improves numerical model prediction
- Sensing development status



Conventional Manufacturing Techniques



melt

form

finish

- Conventional material production steps are tightly monitored and controlled to ensure quality.
- AM is Materials Creation...directly into a functional part.



Why is In-Process Monitoring Needed?

1-inch L-PBF Cube



5 miles of weld

- Each weld is an opportunity for a defect
- Hours/days/weeks of build time
- Post process inspection can be difficult and costly
- In Process Sensing is necessary to move 3DP to AM



Approach to Process Sensing

Without sensing:

- Rely on process development.
- Rely on Post-Process Inspection

Incremental approach to material creation allows:

- Sensing of defects when they are created
- Access to difficult to inspect areas.
- Opportunities to cancel long builds.
- Sense first, control second.

Monitor:

- KPP's (Before, During, and After)
- Local Material/Process Interactions
- Global Material/Process Interactions



Problem Statement and Objective

- Problem Statement: Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF) systems do not possess the same level of quality monitoring that conventional manufacturing systems employ
- Objectives: Evaluate and mature in process sensing techniques on a L-PBF Sensor Test Bed to:
 - Enable quality monitoring
 - Process deviations
 - Geometry, distortion, and bed flatness
 - Metallurgical
 - Pores/Lack of fusion/Cracking
 - Create experimental measurements for validating numerical models of L-PBF



Technical Approach

Develop a L-PBF test bed

- It is difficult to install senses in commercial L-PBF machine
- Therefore, a L-PBF test bed was developed to allow for sensor evaluation without physical or software constraints

Install local sensors

 Monitor the area near the point of material fusion

Install global sensor

Defect occurrence over entire bed

Test sensors

- Produce thermal images
- Produce optical images



A Commercial L-PBF machine:

 EOS M280 with 400W laser for L-PBF at EWI





Develop a L-PBF Test Bed

- 1. Design and fabricate test bed
- 2. Evaluate the test bed



Design and Fabricate Test Bed



HARDWARE

- Checked positional axes to be within 10um resolution
- Determined laser focus position, power calibration
- Completed build platform leveling

CONTROLS

- All motor drives, solenoids, PCs, sensor COM, power, etc., integrated into control cabinet
- 1 PC for sensor test control
- 1 PC for sensor data acquisition and display







Production of Eight 5x10x10mm Prisms





Equivalent Material Established



Inconel 625 on EOS Machine



Inconel 625 on Sensor Test Bed



Open Architecture System

- Complete control over toolpath generation; restricted to simple shapes.
- Control of laser power, travel speed, position of beam
- Triggering of sensors and tracking of X,Y position of beam (to track sensor data)
- Open access to the beam delivery path







Local and Global Sensors





Defect Detection Goals

Metric	Threshold	Objective	Unit of Measure
Geometric Defect Detection	25 µm	10 µm	50% of geometric deviations of XX size
Volumetric Defects	250 µm	100 µm	50% of defects of XX size





Sensors Employed

Local Sensors

- Photodetector
- Spectrometer
- High Speed Video
- Two Color Optical Pyrometer
- ¹⁶ View process at point of fusion; collect information at and surrounding the melt pool.

Global Sensors

- High Resolution
 Imaging
- Laser Line Scan
- Global Thermal

FOV is the powder bed. Collect information before, during, and after a layer is scanned.



Sensor Matrix

uo		Defect Type						
Process Observati	Sensor	Process Deviation	Distortion	Geometry	Bed Flatness	Metallurgi cal	Volumetri c Defects	
Local	High Speed Video	Defect Generation Understanding						
	Thermal Imaging					Х	Х	
H Global H	High Resolution Imaging		Х	Х	Х			
	Laser Line Scanner		Х	Х	Х			
	Thermal Imaging					Х	Х	
	Photogrammetry (UNCC)		Х	Х				
	Projection Moiré (UNCC)		Х	Х	Х			



Local Techniques: High Speed Video

<u>Objective</u>: Identify defect formation, melt pool characteristics; process understanding

Details:

• Bead on Plate; 40mm line; 1000FPS; laser 200W; speed: 200mm/s





Local Sensor: Thermal Imager

- Sensor installed on optical table and aligned with onaxis signal
- Sensor details:
 - Model: Stratonics, IR
 - Frame rate: 1000 fps
 - Exposure: 100 us
 - FOV: 4.6 x 1.9 mm
 - **Resolution**: 6.8 um/pixel
- Investigated melt pool behavior over artificial defective regions
- Investigated melt pool shape and size with varying parameters





Local Sensor: Thermal Imager

· ROMM

20mm

- Introduced a rectangular volume of unfused powder to the build and observed melt pool variation when processing over this region
 - Melt pool seems to be extremely stable when processing over melted and re-solidified build material
 - Melt pool distorts when processing over artificial defective regions





Defective

Local Sensor: Thermal Imager

 Melt pool width increases with energy density increases are measurable

EVI We Manufacture Innovation

Local Sensor: Optical Imager

- Sensor is installed on optical table and aligned with on-axis signal
- Sensor details:
 - Model: IDT Vision, NX7-S2
 - Frame rate: 1000 fps
 - Exposure: 20 us
 - FOV: 11.4 x 6.4 mm
 - **Resolution**: 5.9 um/pixel
- Early images showed promise but required higher illumination levels
- High luminosity LED spot lights have been configured and tested
- Currently focal plane issues are plaguing the results
- Analysis software complete to measure melt pool size and shape

Global Sensor: Thermal Imager

- Camera is installed over the top side viewing port
- Sensor details:
 - Model: Stratonics, ThermaViz
 - Frame rate: 10 fps
 - Exposure: 10 ms
 - **FOV**: 83.2 x 83.2 mm
 - Resolution: 130 um/pixel

Global Sensor: Thermal Imager

Layer 1

Layer 10

Global Sensor: Thermal Imager

 Observed a difference in cooling when traversing the laser progression parallel to gas flow versus normal to gas flow

Global Sensor: Optical Imager

- Camera is installed over the top side viewing port
- Sensor details:
 - Model: PointGrey, Flea3
 - Resolution: 17.7 um/pixel
 - FOV: 70x40 mm
- Images are taken after each layer is processed
- Software algorithms have been written to take key measurements on the build layer
- Limited analysis has been performed to date

Global Sensor: Laser Profiler

Sensor is installed on the recoater arm

Sensor details:

- Model: Keyence LJ-V7060 laser line scanner
- Line width: 15 mm
- Resolution (width): 20 um
- Resolution (height): 16 um

Laser Scanned Data

Image Scan

Sensing Helps Numerical Modeling

- 1. Validate CFD model
- 2. Validate thermal model
- 3. Validate mechanical model

Sensing Helps Validate Fluid Flow Predictions

- Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can be used to predict the fluid flow in the molten pool.
- Optical images can be used to validate the CFD predictions to improve the fundamental understanding of additive manufacturing process.

Sensing Helps Validate Temperature Prediction

Thermal images can be used to validate numerical thermal model predictions of temperature.

Thermal images

Numerical model predicted temperature distributions

Scanning speed: (a) 100mm/s; (b) 300mm/s; (c) 500mm/s

Jamshidinia et al. Journal of manufacturing science and engineering, Vol. 135,

Sensing Helps Validate Mechanical Model: Temperature, Stress, and Deformation

Sensing Development Status

- 1. Local sensors
- 2. Global sensors
- 3. Technical gaps

Local Sensor Progress to Date

- Currently collecting data at ~10% of desire rate (once every 10 melt pools)
- <u>Thermal</u>: High resolution imaging of the melt pool; Currently operating in single-color mode due to software issues.
- <u>Visual</u>: High speed video taken; balancing illumination and focus issues.
- <u>Spectrometer</u>: Slow response time of COT sensors; overall intensity dependencies; limited analysis of line sensitivity
- <u>Photodetector</u>: Could prove useful if spectral lines can be related to defects.

Global Sensor Progress to Date

- Collecting data every layer.
- <u>Thermal</u>: Promising results. Large embedded defects can clearly be seen; may be masked when overhangs are present.
- <u>Visual</u>: Machine vision promising; requires algorithm development
- Laser Line scanner: Similar to machine vision

Technical gaps

Producing Known Defects and Evaluate All sensors against these defects

Technical Gaps

BIG Challenge = BIG Data

- throughput, processing/distillation, go/no-go, storage
 - Global Imaging with 10MP camera: 9.6 GB[^]
 - Local sensing: measurement every beam width >80M data points

Summary

- There is more to 3D Printing than the process...
- Treat AM like any other manufacturing process.
- Quality Control and in process sensing will be necessary to move 3DP to AM.
- Developing a flexible sensor test bed for L-PBF and evaluating candidate sensor techniques for inprocess monitoring.
- Unique opportunity to inspect layer by layer

Questions

Yu-Ping Yang, Ph.D. Principal Engineer Modeling and Simulation <u>yyang@ewi.org</u> 614.688.5253

