

Research to Improve the Loss-Adjusted Food Availability Data Series

Reducing Food Loss & Waste: A Workshop on Impacts
NASEM, Washington, DC, October 17, 2018

Mary K. Muth



Acknowledgements and Disclaimer

- Content of this discussion is based on prior NASEM workshop funded by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (ERS) and Agreement No. 59-4000-6-0069 between RTI International and ERS.
- Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this presentation are not attributable to ERS.

Focus of Presentation

- Provide an overview of prior NASEM workshop: Data and Research to Improve the U.S. Food Availability System and Estimates of Food Loss, April 8-9, 2014
- Review recommendations from follow-up study with ERS: Expert Panel on Technical Questions and Data Gaps for the Loss-Adjusted Food Availability Data Series (recently completed)

Data and Research to Improve the U.S. Food Availability Data System and Estimates of Food Loss: A Workshop

- Objectives of workshop held on April 8 and 9, 2014
 - Evaluate data sources and underlying calculations for the
 - core Food Availability (FA) data series
 - Loss-Adjusted Food Availability (LAFA) data series
 - food loss estimates produced in the series
 - Explore potential uses of other data sources
 - Develop understanding of range of uses
 - Contrast the data to international approaches
 - Identify alternatives and improvements

Structure of the April 2014 Workshop

Session 1 Data System Overview	Session 2 Uses of FA and LAFA data	Session 3 Alternative Approaches: FA	Session 4 Alternative Approaches: LAFA
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Food Availability (FA)• Loss-Adjusted Food Availability (LAFA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Modeling food demand• Forecasting supply and demand• Analyzing adherence to dietary guidance• Estimating environmental impacts of food system	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• FAO food balance sheets for 80 commodities in 185 countries• Reconciliation of FAO balance sheets with household surveys• Potential use of scanner data• Disaggregation of food mixtures in nutrition data	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• WRI Food Loss and Waste Protocol• Possible imputation approach to updating fixed FAO loss ratios• OECD review of food loss estimates in 31 countries• EPA methods based on municipal solid waste

Wrap-up session on economic reasons for food loss and waste:

- Optimizing behavior (i.e., benefits of FLW > costs of avoiding FLW)
- Non-optimizing behavior (e.g., various market failures)

General Observations from the April 2014 Workshop

- Efforts to measure and reduce food loss and waste have increased substantially, but we are still struggling with many of the same issues as four years ago.
- Most estimates of food loss and waste across the globe derive from fixed ratios applied to supply and use data.
 - LAFA data series:
 - Appears to be one of the few that uses empirically-estimated loss ratios
 - Is more detailed in terms of number of commodities and stages of the food system than in other countries
 - Much more work needs to be done to improve estimated loss factors globally:
 - Includes explicitly addressing loss factors (1) at all stages of production from farm to consumer and (2) between food-at-home and food-at-home at the retail and consumer levels.
- Possibility of using data from the WRI Food Loss and Waste protocol (in addition to newer commercial tracking technologies) could be explored.

LAFA Expert Panel: Study Team and Methods

- Building off the 2014 workshop, the overall objective was to research and recommend workable, concrete solutions to technical questions and data gaps underlying the LAFA data series.
- RTI and external panel members:
 - Mary Muth, RTI
 - Kristen Giombi, RTI
 - Marc Bellemare, University of Minnesota
 - Brenna Ellison, University of Illinois
 - Brian Roe, Ohio State University
 - Travis Smith, University of Georgia
- Approach:
 - Series of work sessions, information gathering, analysis, and development of recommendations from October 2016-January 2018
 - Reviewed existing literature, consulted with ERS specialists, conducted external interviews, and conducted analyses of available data
 - Panel developed and jointly prioritized recommendations (report to be posted soon)

LAFA Expert Panel Topics

- **Research Questions**
 - Q1. Incorporating new measures of supermarket shrink into the LAFA Data Series
 - Q2. Structure of the LAFA balance sheets with regard to the inedible portion
 - Q3. Measurement of consumer-level loss for food at home (FAH) separately from food away from home (FAFH)
 - Q4. Feasibility of using a modeling approach to estimate food loss
 - Q5. Methods of using IRI scanner data or FoodAPS data to improve food loss estimates
 - Q6. Accounting for ingredients in food mixtures when estimating food loss
 - Q7. Accounting for changes in food loss over time in the LAFA series
- **Data Gaps**
 - G1. Supermarket shrink estimates for additional commodities
 - G2. Per capita availability data for rice
 - G3. Updated farm-to-retail conversion factors
 - G4. Measurement of other losses (e.g., theft, donations, transfers)
 - G5. Reuse and recycling of frying fats
 - G6. Availability estimates for additional commodities (e.g., soy products, seeds, whole grains)
 - G7. Loss estimates for additional commodities (e.g., coffee, tea, cocoa)

Approach to Prioritizing Recommendations

- Following data gathering and analysis, prioritized research questions and data gaps based on assessment of:
 - ease of implementing a solution
 - effect on improving the LAFA data series
- Assessed the following:
 - **Data availability**—whether (a) the data currently exist or are likely to be available to implement the recommended approach or (b) a new data collection would need to be conducted
 - **Internal versus external**—whether ERS could likely implement the recommended approach internally versus needing to rely on external resources
 - **Relative effort level**—qualitative assessment of the relative effort in terms of labor hours or time required to implement the recommendation
 - **Effects of calories and servings**—qualitative assessment of the likely impact of implementing the recommendation on the measures relevant to the LAFA series

Summary of LAFA Expert Panel Recommendations: Top Priority

- Estimates:
 - Adopt new estimates of retail loss estimates for fruits and vegetables from the Nielsen's Perishables Group study (documented in Buzby et al., 2016) for 2011-2012, and interpolate intervening years from 2005-2006
 - Develop projected values for rice Food Availability estimates after 2010
- Structure of data series:
 - Restructure spreadsheets to put inedible percentages in same column (that is, food supply stage) consistently across commodities, while acknowledging inedible portion could be removed at different stages
 - Retain current time-series format of LAFA data series, while documenting origin and year of estimation of each loss factor

Summary of LAFA Expert Panel Recommendations: Medium Priority

- Estimates:
 - Conduct a new primary data collection effort to estimate retail loss estimates for commodities beyond fruits and vegetables
 - Conduct formal expert elicitation to develop updated estimates of “farm-to-retail” or “primary-to-retail” loss factors for groups of commodities
 - Additionally, clearly document the definition of “primary” in each spreadsheet
 - Adjust Food Availability estimates for net export quantities for commodities with high net export values using recipe databases linked to trade harmonization codes
- Structure of data series:
 - Split LAFA spreadsheets into food-at-home and food-away-from-home at the retail and consumer levels due to differences in drivers of food loss

Concluding comments: Related research

- Recently developed updated estimates of consumer-level food loss for the LAFA data series
 - Undergoing external review process
- Initiating work to develop updated estimates of retail-level food loss for the LAFA data series
 - Survey of retailers for ERS
- Modeling the environmental improvements associated with food loss and waste interventions
 - In collaboration with the NSF-funded Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center at University of Maryland

For More Information

Mary K. Muth, PhD

Director, Food, Nutrition, & Obesity Policy Research

919.541.7289

muth@rti.org