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Outline

• Why did we undertake the R&A restructuring?
  (J. Green covered this)

• How did we formulate & implement the restructuring?

• What are the outcomes to date?
How did we formulate & implement?

We had off-site retreats and many, many weekly meetings to formulate the plan

12/20/11 Findings from R&A Discipline Scientists Retreat (1/2)

- Organization of R&A programs is largely driven by historical precedent, and may not be the optimal structure in a budget-constrained environment.

- Research should be prioritized; non-research activities in portfolio (e.g., facilities, RPIFs, E/PO, workshops) should be evaluated.

- The 2011 Decadal Survey identified crosscutting scientific themes; we recognized that these needed to be incorporated in our restructuring plan.
How did we formulate & implement?

12/20/11 Findings from R&A Discipline Scientists Retreat (2/2)

• Have “core” (broad, on-going) research supplemented by “strategic” (on-going, but limited in scope) and “focused” (time-limited) research. Priority: Core>Strategic>Focused

• Core programs would maintain broad calls and perhaps have clustered reviews.

• Benefits include improving our alignment with division strategic goals and missions, more funding flexibility, maintaining excitement, modulating work load, smoother integration of new program officers, and eliminating redundant proposals.
## Planetary Decadal Science Themes

**Decadal Survey identified crosscutting themes**

1. **Building New Worlds:** Advance the understanding of the initial stages, conditions, and processes of solar system formation including the formation and evolution of the Sun’s family of planets, moons, and minor bodies.

2. **Workings of Solar Systems:** Advance the understanding of how the chemical and physical processes that shape our Solar System operate, interact, and evolve over time.

3. **Planetary Habitats:** Advance the understanding of the conditions sufficient for environments beyond the Earth to be capable of sustaining life.

4. **Potential for Life:** Advance the understanding of the origin and evolution of Earth’s life and biosphere to guide our search for life elsewhere.

5. **Exploration:** Identify and characterize planetary objects and environments that pose threats to, or offer potential resources for, humans as we expand our presence into the Solar System.
SMD 2014 Science Plan

Ascertain the content, origin, and evolution of the solar system and the potential for life elsewhere.

- Explore and observe the objects in the solar system to understand how they formed and evolved
- Advance the understanding of how the chemical and physical processes in our solar system operate, interact and evolve
- Explore and find locations where life could have existed or could exist today.
- Improve our understanding of the origin and evolution of life on Earth to guide our search for life elsewhere
- Identify and characterize objects in the solar system that pose threats to Earth, or offer resources for human exploration

http://science.nasa.gov/media/medialibrary/2014/05/02/2014_Science_Plan-0501_tagged.pdf
New Core Research Programs Defined

The five new core programs are aligned with PSD’s goals/objectives.

- How did the Sun’s family of planets, satellites, and minor bodies form and evolve?
  - Emerging Worlds

- How do the chemical and physical processes active in our solar system operate, interact and evolve?
  - Solar System Workings

- What are the characteristics of the solar system that lead to habitable environments?
  - Habitable Worlds

- How did life originate and evolve here on Earth and can that guide our search for life elsewhere?
  - Exobiology

- What are characteristics of planetary objects and environments that pose threats to, or offer potential resources for, humans as we expand our presence into the solar system?
  - Solar System Observations
Calls from previous ROSES Years

- A very small component of all DAPS
- Planetary Geology & Geophysics

Moon, Mars Analog Mission Activities

Astrobio Sci & Tech for Exploring Planets

Origins of Solar Systems

Planetary Atmospheres

New Programs for ROSES 2014

- Lunar Data Analysis Program
- Planetary Data Archiving, Restoration, and Tools (PDART)
- Planetary Science & Technology from Analog Research (PSTAR)
- Exoplanets
## FY16 Research Budget by Funding Line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Budget ($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planetary R&amp;A (Competed and supported activities)</td>
<td>154.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars R&amp;A (Mars Data Analysis Program) (excluding Critical Data Products (CDP)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Planets Research (Cassini Data Analysis Program &amp; PSP)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery Research</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Robotics Program for Exploration (JRPE) (SSERVI Nodes)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEOO (Competed activities)</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europa Technology</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>239.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposal and award numbers

- Total PSD % Select
- PSD Total # Submit
- PSD Total # select

2015 # submitted is down to 1333

% selected

# of props submitted and selected

ROSES Year
Remove variation due to number of solicitations

Proposals per Element = 2.0671 (Year - 2003) + 60.222

$R^2 = 0.57517$
## Change in Proposal Numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>ROSES 2014 Step-2 Submissions</th>
<th>ROSES 2015 Step-2 Submissions</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EW</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXOB</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>+24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51*</td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDART</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDAPS</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDAP</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARS</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRP</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDAP</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDAP</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSTAR</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MatISSE</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Not solicited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICASSO</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>+18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NEOO / MatISSE proposals not solicited in 2015*
A Selection Metric

Shown are proposals submitted to ROSES-2014, including all core programs (EW, SSW, HW, SSO, EXO) and all DAPs (MDAP, DDAP, LDAP, CDAPS).
Keyword Analysis

• Analysis of “Target Object” Keyword for 2011-2015:
  – Competed ROSES programs, including
    • Data Analysis Programs (DAPs)
    • Participating Science Programs

• Excludes:
  – NASA Astrobiology Institute (NAI)
  – Solar System Exploration Research Virtual Institute (SSERVI)

• Caveats:
  – Keywords were not submitted for all tasks
OUTER PLANETS (BY FY)

- Jupiter
- Saturn
- Neptune
- Uranus

Year 2011:
- Total: $8.4M
- Jupiter: $5.4M

Year 2012:
- Total: $7.4M
- Jupiter: $4.3M

Year 2013:
- Total: $11.4M
- Jupiter: $6.6M

Year 2014:
- Total: $7.6M
- Jupiter: $4.7M

Year 2015:
- Total: $9.1M
- Jupiter: $5.6M
Concluding observations

- Competed research has been prioritized over non-competed activities
- Steady-state workload on program officers has increased but modulated to avoid peaks
- Staff has been added: detailedies, contractors, and IPAs but Planetary R&A is still understaffed
- NASA-funded facilities review is complete, formulating ideas for solicitation for new and continuing facilities; RPIFs are currently under review
- The core structure now reflects the strategic objectives of the 2014 NASA SMD Science Plan and the Decadal Survey cross-cutting themes
- The compilation of planetary science accomplishments into the annual GPRA-MA report has been greatly simplified which also feeds into the Agency annual Strategic Objectives Annual Review (SOAR)
- For 2014 & 2015, the Agency has recognized the Planetary Science Division for having made “Noteworthy Progress” against our strategic goals, very exclusive as only two organizations within the Agency have achieved this status
QUESTIONS?
BACKUPS
PSD Budget-thru-time

![Graph showing PSD Budget over time from 2008 to 2016. The graph displays the amount in millions of dollars over the years.](image-url)
Proposal Pressure (ROSS 2004 – ROSES 2013)

![Graph showing the number of proposals, awards, and success rate from 2004 to 2013.]